

Advanced Routing Protocol for VANET

Sujeet Kumar

Computer Science & Engineering,
BIET., Lucknow, India
sujeetkumar389@gmail.com

Madan Kushwaha

Computer Science & Engineering,
BIET., Lucknow, India

Abstract--In recent years wireless technologies and short-range data communications have made inter-vehicular communications and road-vehicle communications practically realizable in mobile ad-hoc networks (MANETs). This development has contributed a new applications in the MANET called as the vehicular ad hoc network (VANET). Internetworking in the VANET systems has achieving a great amount of momentum in past four to five years. Its importance has been significantly accepted by many car manufacturing industries, governmental organizations, defense applications, and in the academic community. This work is focused on routing protocols that basically refers to road-based using vehicular traffic (RBVT) routing which generally based on a class of routing mechanism and it has outperformed over existing routing protocols in city-based high probability, vehicular network connectivity among them. We are demonstrating results for Vehicular ad hoc network (VANET) which are suffering from intermittent connectivity problems due to vehicles mobility, which challenge routing protocols. To address the issue, we propose a novel strategy that consists of a composite scheme having applications of Reactive Pseudo-suboptimal-path Selection routing protocol (RPS) in the RBVT routing protocol during the intersection mode route selection problems. It is different from existing solutions which rely on vehicles physical movement to carry packets in intermittent connectivity scenarios. RPS gives the recently passed intersection a chance to select a new path from suboptimal-path unilaterally determined by local knowledge and its intersection mode is helpful during the case when there is more than supporting connected paths at an intersection. This mode gives an optimal path on the basis of weight factor and path connectivity. In this way by using RPS protocol in RBVT this work shows that the proposed RPS has higher packet delivery ratio and lower end-to-end delay.

Keywords: MANET, RBVT, RPS, Routing and VANET

1. Introduction:

Vehicular adhoc networks (VANETs) have recently received significant interest for improving road safety and drive convenience. For example, a vehicular network can propagate warnings to drivers behind a traffic accident to avoid multiple vehicle collision. In another example, VANETs can prevent traffic jam by coordinating real-time traffic flow. As more and more vehicles are equipped with wireless communication

devices, VANETs can be envisioned in foreseeable future. Although being a subclass of mobile ad hoc networks (MANETs), VANETs have distinguished features from other ad hoc networks, such as wireless sensor networks (WSNs) and delay tolerant networks (DTNs). VANETs manifest dynamic topology and intermittent connectivity due to high mobility of vehicles. For instance, network structure changes in the way of vehicle groups birth (E), growth (A), combination (B), contraction (D), split (C), and death (F), illustrated in Fig. 1.




Fig. 1: Intermittent connected vehicle-to-vehicle networks ,top at $t=t_1$ and bottom at $t=t_2$.

That means that links frequently break or establish and network topology evolves dramatically over time. In addition, in Fig. 1(a), nodes in C (the green shadow) and F (the orange shadow) are disconnected from other nodes in the network because they are out of the transmission range of any node in A, B1 and B2, D, E1 and E2. In other words, when vehicle density is low, network has high probability of being disconnected. Such dynamic intermittent network connectivity hinders applications of mobility-assisted schemes for message dissemination and topology control in VANETs

The unique features of VANETs present a challenging question: how to detect intermittently connected vehicle networks on the fly such as to explore mobility-assisted data forwarding and topology control in VANETs. Since link dynamics and network structure evolutions are mostly determined by vehicle mobility, which is not a prior-knowledge, the challenge is how

to characterize mobility correlations among vehicles such that we can trace real time network topology. Fortunately, vehicle

mobility is usually constrained by road layout, speed limit, traffic flow, and driver's destination rather than random. On one hand, car movement depends on the close-by vehicles (e.g., similar speeds and same moving direction) and geographic surroundings (e.g., road layout and speed limit), i.e., vehicle mobility reveals spatial locality. Vehicles with similar spatial locality properties can maintain stable links and move as a group for a long time. On the other hand, being driven by human, vehicles likely frequent several community sites, such as home and office, i.e., vehicle mobility, like human mobility, exhibits temporal locality. Vehicles with similar temporal locality properties have high chance to travel overlapping routes and meet one another. Thus, there is a close relationship between network structure and spatial-temporal locality similarity of vehicles

Late advances in remote advances and committed short-extend interchanges innovations have made entomb vehicular correspondences (IVC) and road-vehicle correspondences (RVC) conceivable in portable specially appointed systems (MANETs). This has brought forth another sort of MANET system known as the vehicular specially appointed system (VANET). Internetworking over VANETs has been picking up a lot of energy in the course of recent years. Its expanding significance has been perceived by real auto makers, legislative associations, and the scholastic group. The Federal Communications Commission has apportioned range for IVC and comparative applications (e.g., remote access in vehicle environment). Governments and conspicuous mechanical organizations, for example, Toyota, BMW, and Daimler-Chrysler, have propelled vital ventures for IVC interchanges. Propelled Driver Assistance Systems (ADASE2) [1], Crash Avoidance Metrics Partnership (CAMP) [2], Chauffeur in EU [3], CarTALK2000 [4], FleetNet [5], California Partners for Advanced Transit and Highways (California PATH) [6], and DEMO 2000 by Japan Automobile Research Institute (JSK) are couple of prominent cases. These ventures are a noteworthy stride toward the acknowledgment of savvy transport administration.

2. Related Work:

Mr. Yugal Kumar et. al. (2011), according to them now a day, one of the most attractive research topics in the area of Intelligent Movement Control is Inter vehicle correspondence. In V2V correspondence or we can likewise called VANET i.e. vehicular specially appointed system; a vehicle can impart to its neighboring vehicles even without a focal Base Station. The idea of this immediate correspondence is to send vehicle security messages balanced or one to numerous vehicles through remote association. Such messages are typically short long and have short lifetime in which they must achieve at the destination. The Inter-vehicle correspondence framework is an adhoc system with high portability and changing number of hubs, where versatile hubs rapidly make impermanent systems and using so as to exchange messages from one hub to others various jumps because of restriction of short range. The

steering in vehicular Ad hoc Networks (VANET) has pulled in numerous considerations amid the most recent couple of years. So in this work we are concentrating on the directing idea for the VANET i.e. standards for directing, disintegration of the steering capacity and prerequisite. The information conveyance through Vehicular Ad-hoc Networks is trying since it should productively handle quick topology changes and a divided system.

Sherali Zeadally et. al., (2012), according to them late advances in equipment, programming, and correspondence innovations are empowering the configuration and execution of an entire scope of diverse sorts of systems that are being sent in different situations. One such system that has gotten a considerable measure of enthusiasm for the last couple of years is the Vehicular Ad-Hoc Network (VANET). VANET has turned into a dynamic range of exploration, institutionalization, and advancement in light of the fact that it can possibly enhance vehicle and street security, movement effectiveness, and accommodation and additionally solace to both drivers and travelers. Late research endeavors have set an in number accentuation on novel VANET outline architectures and executions. A considerable measure of VANET exploration work have concentrated on particular territories including steering, TV, Quality of Service (QoS), and security. We study a percentage of the late research results in these areas. We present a survey of remote access benchmarks for VANETs, and portray a percentage of the late VANET trials and organizations in the US, Japan, and the European Union. Likewise, we additionally quickly display a percentage of the test systems right now accessible to VANET analysts for VANET recreations and we survey their advantages and confinements. At long last, they diagram a portion of the VANET examination challenges that still should be tended to empower the omnipresent sending and widespread appropriation of versatile, solid, vigorous, and secure VANET architectures, conventions, advancements, and administrations.

P. S. Nithya Darisini et. al. (2013) worked on Vehicular Ad hoc Network (VANET) which is a subset of Mobile Ad hoc Networks (MANET), late advances in equipment, programming, and correspondence innovations are empowering the configuration and execution of an entire scope of diverse sorts of systems that are being sent in different situations. One such system that has gotten a considerable measure of enthusiasm for the last couple of years is the Vehicular Ad-Hoc Network (VANET). VANET has turned into a dynamic range of exploration, institutionalization, and advancement in light of the fact that it can possibly enhance vehicle and street security, movement effectiveness, and accommodation and additionally solace to both drivers and travelers. Late research endeavors have set an in number accentuation on novel VANET outline architectures and executions. A considerable measure of VANET

exploration work have concentrated on particular territories including steering, TV, Quality of Service (QoS), and security. We study a percentage of the late research results in these areas. We present a survey of remote access benchmarks for VANETs, and portray a percentage of the late VANET trials and organizations in the US, Japan, and the European Union. Likewise, we additionally quickly display a percentage of the test systems right now accessible to VANET analysts for VANET recreations and we survey their advantages and confinements. At long last, they diagram a portion of the VANET examination challenges that still should be tended to empower the omnipresent sending and widespread appropriation of versatile, solid, vigorous, and secure VANET architectures, conventions, advancements, and administrations.

Vehicular ad hoc network (VANET) is suffering from intermittent connectivity problems due to vehicles mobility, which challenge routing protocols. To address the issue another work proposed by **Xin Wang et. al. (2013)** that consists of a novel strategy called Reactive Pseudo-suboptimal-path Selection routing protocol (RPS). It is not quite the same as existing arrangements which depend on vehicles physical development to convey bundles in discontinuous integration situations. RPS allows the as of late passed crossing point to choose another way from imperfect way singularly controlled by nearby information. Along these lines it enhances the likelihood of transmission through remote channels. An examination in the middle of RPS and current conventions is exhibited and results demonstrate that the proposed RPS has higher bundle conveyance proportion and lower end-to-end delay.

Sourav Kumar Bhoi et. al., (2013), according to them Vehicular Ad Hoc Network (VANET) is a rising region of examination to give Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) administrations to the end clients. It is a testing point for its high versatility and successive connection interruption. As of late analysts are dealing with numerous particular issues identified with VANET like directing, TV, Quality of Service (QoS), security, architectures, applications, conventions, and so forth. The increment in vehicles prompts serious street mishaps and road turned parking lot in urban zones, so to annihilate these issues there ought to be a proficient and secure correspondence between the vehicles. Security is a principle issue these days in VANET on the grounds that malignant drivers in the system disturb the framework execution. This work exhibits another Position Based Secure Routing Protocol (PBSRP) which is a half and half of Most Forward inside of Radius (MFR) and Border Node based Most Forward inside of Radius (B-MFR) directing conventions. A security module is included this convention by utilizing station to station key understanding convention to keep the framework from different assaults. It comprises of three stages: introduction stage, ideal hub choice stage and secure

information conveyance stage. Reproduction results shows PBSRP shows preferable results over MFR and B-MFR regarding end to end postponement and parcel conveyance proportion when noxious drivers are incorporated in the system.

3. Methodology:

We have developed an algorithm for routing protocol based on RBVT (Road-based using vehicular traffic) by using MATLAB 2010 A platform. This algorithm is further extended in to RBVT –RPS protocol for improving the performance of our average packet delivery ratio with minimum delay, by using a predefined weight factor taken similar to the intersection mode of RPS (Reactive Pseudo-suboptimal-path Selection routing protocol) protocol related packet delivery system. In this chapter we are described our both of the algorithms one by one sequentially in upcoming sections.

3.1 RBVT-P: RBVT algorithms description is given below.




Fig 2: Network Roadmap for representing VANET routing.

4. Result and Discussion:

we have presented all the result analysis and comparative study of conventional RBVT-P and our new proposed algorithms of RBVT- RPS. both of the algorithms are discussed in chapter 3 and they are developed using MATLAB 2010 A. performance are measured using packet delay and packet delivery ratio in terms of various slots, bar chart and tables for the purpose of justification of our performance improvement by adding RPS protocols intersection mode in the RBVT-P protocol. Section 4.1 shows the performance evolution result for RBVT-P and section 4.2 demonstrating the performance of our newly developed algorithms RBVT+RPS.

4.1 RBVT –P Routing performance result for VANET

Our algorithms for conventional RBVT-P routing is designed for 8 BTS node intersection points having 50 vehicular nodes scattered on 11 road segments as shown in figure below .1.

I1	I6, I2, Iv1
I2	I1,I3, Iv2
I3	I2, Iv3
I4	I5, I7, Iv4
I5	I4,I8, Iv5
I6	I1,I7
I7	I4, I6
I8	I5

Each vehicular nodes iteratively receives the RU (route update) content from the BTS as shown in figure under the heading RU content (shown in table 3), where each row in the RU content table represent the BTS intersection name I₁, I₂, I₃, I₄, I₅, I₆, I₇, I₈ and second to last column represent the intersection which are connected to the BTS of the respective row, where Iv represented that there is no connection with the particular intersection.

In this way in figure 4.1 it is given that

I₁: I₆, I₂, Iv₁

It indicates that I₁ is connected to I₆ and I₂ but with the I₄ there is a virtual connection Iv₁ (there is a road segment but connectivity lost). Similarly we can see that there are virtual connections Iv₂, are present between I₃ and I₅, and Iv₃ are present between I₄ and I₁, and Iv₄ are present between I₅ and I₃.

I₂: I₁, I₃, I₄.

I₃: I₂, Iv₂.

I₄: I₅, I₇, I₂, Iv₃

I₅: I₄, I₈, Iv₄.

I₆: I₁, I₇.

I₇: I₄, I₆.

I₈: I₅




Fig. 3: Path followed by PBVT-P routing for reaching destination segment




Fig 4: Average delay time(sec.) for forwarding data in each segment




Fig 5:

From the above report we can see that packet is generated from source then after transfer to node 1 then it follows different segment one by one to reach the destination in a sequence given below.

Sequence 1-6, 6-7, 7-4, 4-5, 5-8.

In this way the packet is successfully arrives at the destination.

On running this algorithms for separate times we have recorded the average delay time that has been assume during forwarding packet from segment to segment along with the reading of average delay time, we have also recorded average delivery ratio with respect to packet per second. Both of the values are plotted in figure 4.2(a) and 4.2 (b). We can observe that there are five different values of average delay over the propagation from 1-6, 6-7, 7-4, 4-5, 5-8. And we can analyzed that since the traffic over each segment is different, hence the average delay is not consistent over each segment, however we can not exactly find the average delay presently. In this figure maximum average delay is approximately 4 second and minimum average delay is approximately 2 second.



5. Conclusion:

This thesis work based on the area of intelligent traffic control for the inter vehicles communication called as V-V communication or VANET. We are developed an algorithms with concerning an environment in which a vehicles can communicate to its neighboring vehicles with the control of distributed base station at different intersection point of road. The developed algorithms concentrate on the concept of direct communication by sending vehicles messages one to one to a destination vehicles via wireless communication .We have consider that this vehicle to vehicle system base algorithms in the above network with providing secure data transmission in a high mobility and changing location of the vehicle node in a predefined structured road map is generated by BTS information

References:

- [1] Josiane Nzouonta, et. al, " VANET Routing on City Roads Using Real-Time Vehicular Traffic Information" IEEE transactions on vehicular technology, vol. 58, no. 7, september 2009.
- [2] Vasco N.G.J. Soares et. al, " GeoSpray: A geographic routing protocol for vehicular delay-tolerant networks" 1566-2535/\$ - see front matter 2011 Elsevier B.V.
- [3] Mr. Yugal Kumar et. al., " A Survey on Routing Mechanism and Techniques in Vehicle to Vehicle Communication (VANET)" International Journal of Computer Science & Engineering Survey (IJCSES) Vol.2, No.1, Feb 2011
- [4] Sherali Zeadally et. al., " Vehicular ad hoc networks (VANETS): status, results, and challenges" Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2012.
- [5] P. S. Nithya Darisini et. al., " A Survey of Routing Protocols for VANET in Urban Scenarios" Proceedings of the 2013 International Conference on Pattern Recognition, Informatics and Mobile Engineering (PRIME) February 21-22.
- [6] Xin Wang et. al., " An Effective Routing Protocol for Intermittently Connected Vehicular Ad Hoc Networks" 2013 IEEE wireless communications and networking conference (wncn): networks.
- [7] Sourav Kumar Bhoi et. al, " A Secure Routing Protocol for Vehicular Ad Hoc Network to Provide ITS Services" International conference on Communication and Signal Processing, April 3-5, 2013, India.
- [8] Yousef-Awwad Daraghmi et. al., "A Taxonomy Of Data Communication Protocols For Vehicular Ad Hoc Networks" 2013 - 151.100.17.32.
- [9] Baraa T. Sharef et. al., " Vehicular communication adhoc routing protocols: A survey" elseveir 2013.
- [10] Marco Conti et. al., " Mobile Ad Hoc Networking: Milestones, Challenges, and New Research Directions" IEEE Communications Magazine, January 2014.

[11] M. Heddebaut, J. Rioult, J. P. Ghys, C. Gransart, and S. Ambellouis, "Broadband vehicle-to-vehicle communication using an extended autonomous cruise control sensor," Meas. Sci. Technol., vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 1363–1373, Jun. 2005.

[12] W.-B. Zhang, H.-S. Tan, A. Steinfeld, B. Bougler, D. Empey, K. Zhou, and M. Tomizuka, "Implementing advanced vehicle control and safety systems (AVCSS) for highway maintenance operations," in Proc. 6th Annu. World Congr. ITS, Toronto, ON, Canada, Nov. 1999.

[13] Y. Ko and N. H. Vaidya, "Location-aided routing (LAR) in mobile ad hoc networks," in Proc. IEEE/ACM MobiCom, Dallas, TX, Oct. 1998, pp. 66–75.