# **Review on Latest WSN Routing Protocol for Energy** Efficiency and QoS Enhancement

Surajdev Pandey<sup>1</sup>, Abhishek Kumar Saxena<sup>2</sup> IT Department, Bansal Institute of Engineering and Technology, Lucknow surajpandeyad@gmail.com, abhisaxena0212@gmail.com

Abstract: A collection of tiny, power-restricted nodes known as a wireless sensor network (WSN) gathers data and transmits it to a base station (BS). According to the requirements of the application, these nodes cover a sizable area of interest (ROI). The initial issue with WSNs is how to convey the monitored data to the BS while perfectly covering the ROI. Despite the energy used during setup and the breach of the dynamic routing topologies' energy fairness limitation, they achieve good network performance in terms of coverage and connectivity. In order to highlight the significant protocol design difficulties, we categorise the WSN applications in this research according to several factors. The energy efficiency of the most recent proactive routing protocols is therefore investigated from many perspectives. The energy overhead and energy fairness of each protocol were carefully analyzed.

Keywords: Energy efficient routing, Proactive routing protocols, Network lifetime, quality-of-service.

#### 1. Introduction

In the new past, Wireless Sensor Network (WSN) has arisen as the main innovation that coordinates mechanized detecting, handling, and remote transmission units into little hardware gadgets known as sensor hubs [1]. These hubs are haphazardly disseminated over the geological regions to detect different ecological boundaries viz. temperature, pressure, stickiness, sound, dampness, and seismic occasions. The detecting data of remote hubs is directed bounce by-jump towards the Base Station (BS) where it is handled and dissected [1]. The previous exploration on WSN is connected with the regular noticing applications, be that as it may, with wide improvement in microelectro-mechanical structures (MEMS) and remote systems administration advancements, WSNs have begun to develop in different stages and purposes and have gathered a few explicit applications in the review [2].

For example, sensor networks utilized for sending video, sound, and, pictures especially for observation and checking purposes might be named as Wireless Multimedia Sensor Networks (WMSNs). At the point when conveyed inside businesses for machine condition observing and process robotization might be marked as Industrial Wireless Sensor Networks (IWSNs). Moreover, when the sensors are dependable to screen clinical and emergency clinic climate, the organization is known to be a Wireless Body Area Network (WBAN). Moreover, in the event that the sensor hubs are sent submerged to work with submerged route, observation, marine surveillance, and debacle avoidance might be named as Underwater WSNs (UWSNs). Lastly, in the event that the sensor hubs can change their situation and sort out themselves by continuing all alone, are known to be Mobile Sensor Networks (MSNs) [3]. These application areas have different working components in light of their different kinds of information that for the most part require

QoS affirmation as far as transmission delay, throughput, dependability, network lifetime, energy-productivity, and strength [4].

Empowered by progresses in savvy frameworks, conveyed signal handling and remote correspondence innovations, and roused by military and common applications, WSNs perceived another age of a multi-useful sensor ready to catch different kinds of natural and states of being and portrayed by their low battery, low information handling limit, little size and capacity to move around and coordinate themselves into an organization [1-3].

These restricted attributes carry the need to accomplish effective administration of the directing errand to the increment the organization life expectancy [4]. Consequently, much ongoing examination in this field expects to carry out profoundly productive directing conventions that will actually want to conquer the serious asset imperatives of sensors [5,6]. Numerous conventions have been intended for WSNs as per the different prerequisites of utilizations and the huge number of WSNs attributes [7-9]. A few studies that have tried to investigate and order these directing conventions as per various boundaries have been distributed. The point of our work is to give an overview of these conventions following another order model introduced in past work. We characterize the standard ongoing proposed conventions utilizing our scientific classification, recognizing nine classifications of conventions: idleness mindful and energy-productive steering, next-jump choice, network design, initiator of correspondence, network geography, convention activity, conveyance mode, way foundation and application type.



Fig. 1. Application of WSN

#### 2. Related Work:

In this part, we sum up the overviews performed on connecting the requirement for lifetime expansion concerning application needs and the main energy productive directing conventions intended for WSN. To cover the energy opening issue, the reviews performed on connecting the requirement for lifetime expansion as for uses of WSN are introduced to show how energy utilization is fundamental as per application type. In this part, we sum up the past overviews, surveys and relative examinations performed through the most recent 6 years on WSN applications and lifetime amplification. Ehsan and Hamdaoui covered the energy-effective steering conventions for Wireless Multimedia Sensor Networks WMSN in [12]. They frame the plan difficulties and impediments of non-media information transmission procedures when utilized in WMSN. Further, they featured the presentation issues of every energy-productive steering technique intended for WMSNs in a characterization.

In [13], Naeimi et al. have led an exhaustive study of group based directing conventions for homogeneous sensor organizations. They grouped bunching conventions as per their goals and grouping process technique that incorporates bunch head CH determination, group development, information accumulation and information correspondence. Consequently, the creators gave point by point characterizations of bunching conventions for homogeneous organizations in each stage in light of the current examination beginning around 2012. The CH determination grouping incorporates selforganized plans, helped plans, and multifaceted assessment plans.

In [14], Liu introduced a broad review on grouping directing conventions in WSNs. He framed the targets of grouping for WSNs and fostered an original scientific classification of WSN bunching directing techniques in view of three central matters: First, bunch qualities that incorporate fluctuation of bunch count, consistency of bunch measures, the strategies for between bunch steering, and the habits of between group steering. Second, bunch head attributes, which incorporate the CH presence, CH contrast of capacities, CH portability, and CH job. Third, grouping processes, which that incorporate the control habits, execution nature, assembly time, boundaries for CH political race, and organization proactivity.

Pantazis et al. [15] introduced a powerful extended review of the paper proposed by Al-Karaki in 2004 [16] on the energy proficiency of directing conventions for WSNs. The creators characterized the steering conventions into level, progressive, inquiry based, intelligible and non-intelligent based, exchange based, area based, versatile specialist based, multipathbased, QoS-based. They give a point by point examination among these conventions as far as organization versatility, hubs portability, power use, course choice measurements, occasional message type, and heartiness. They additionally characterized the conventions as per obligation cycling, datadriven and versatility to demonstrate that the energy utilization of the radio is a lot higher than the energy utilization because of information testing or information handling.

Rault et al. [17] introduced a comprehensive perspective on energy-saving arrangements while thinking about the particular necessities of the applications. It gives WSN creators an outline of the effective arrangements of their application-explicit WSN design. They arranged WSN applications as indicated by their particular necessities. These prerequisites incorporate versatility, inclusion, dormancy, QoS, security, portability and heartiness. Then, at that point, they introduced another characterization of energypreservation plans to be gotten together with applications explicit necessities. These plans are extensively isolated into five principal techniques which are: radio improvement, information decrease, rest/wakeup plans, energy-productive directing, charging.

At long last, they arranged energy proficiency and necessities compromises into three classifications: Multi-metric conventions, Cross-layer draws near, and Multi-objective streamlining. Khan et al. [18] introduced an undeniable level scientific categorization of energy the executives in WSNs. They ordered energy arrangement approaches as battery driven, energy reaping, and energy transaction based plans. They suggest considering both, the energy supply as well as the energy utilization in lined up while planning an energy productive calculation. Anisi et al. [19] covered the energy utilization issue of WSN explicitly in Precision farming (PA). With the end goal that, PA is the utilization of data and correspondence innovation together in observing horticulture fields for ranch the board. The creators characterized WSN approaches in PA as per their elements, specialized commitment, geography, heterogeneity, energy source, and information conglomeration. In like manner, they examined their energy utilization in view of their power sources.

Asharioun et al. [20] present a definite overview that spotlights on energy-adjusting strategies and logical examination in crown based WSN. The creators examined the energy openings and problem area regions in many-to-one WSNs where hubs situated around the sink transfer the information from other sensor hubs. In this manner, energy exhaustion happens rapidly as displayed in our presentation. Such energy openings cause the untimely finish of organization lifetime. They talked about the connection between the elements influencing network effectiveness that incorporate organization lifetime, sensors inclusion, the quantity of alive hubs, network availability, application nature of administration necessity, and the energy opening issues. They characterized the plans proposed for tackling the energy opening issue in crown based WSNs into six classes: utilizing dynamic grouping hub, nonuniform hub arrangement, sink versatility, transfer hub, provisioning the hub, and the utilization of staggered transmission range. Then, they covered the fundamental numerical displaying of organization availability and inclusion, energy thought and ideal crown width.

Lui [21] played out an enlightening survey covering abnormal progressive WSN steering conventions utilizing a complete correlation in view of their overall exhibitions and application situations showing their impact on delaying network lifetime. He offered an order of those conventions in light of legitimate hub geography to be separated into four kinds that are: Chain-Based Routing, Tree-Based Routing, Grid Based Routing, and Area-Based Routing. Likewise, we give a nitty gritty investigation of particular energy effective steering conventions that covers energy utilization during parcel transmissions. Our relative review depends on energy reasonableness during information transmission stage and organization above during network arrangement stage. The past endeavors applied toward this path are summed up in Table 1 appearance their area of review and fundamental order building blocks. Numerous kinds of sensors or sensor hubs with a few detecting capacities might be utilized in one application to give a total perspective on the observed information. These sensors can detect various estimations, similar to temperature, pressure, moistness, wind speed and course, seismic changes that action geological change and others take photographs and recordings to give a more clear perspective on the checked region or the followed target. As per the creators in [30], WSN applications are sorted in light of information transmission need.

Different application conditions of WSN have made sending interaction of sensor hubs shift from the foreordained technique to arbitrary strategies. The foreordained arrangement technique is best where ROI is effectively available and has restricted region while the arbitrary organization is at times the main decision in numerous applications as displayed in [31]. In this manner, a few examinations have been performed to concentrate on the ideal organization procedures to stay away from WSN network and energy consumption issues, as in [32]. Other examination headings give a few answers for irregular sending methodologies by presenting starting unique stage utilizing a virtual power calculation (VFA) or adding dynamic hubs to keep up with network utilizing equal molecule swarm streamlining (PPSO); as displayed in [33, 34], separately. Then again, hubs may all situate in two-layered fields or in 3layered and 4-layered fields. A few applications like the Body Area Networks BANs [35], Wireless Underground Sensor Networks WUSN [36] or submerged sensor networks [37, 38] require three layered hub sending.

As per [39], QoS prerequisites are summed up in the super three focuses that are: first and foremost, a movable awareness which means obliging various conditions and security necessities. Subsequently, a deception can be endured in certain applications and ought to be kept away from in others. Second, secrecy by staying away from location or capture; consequently, correspondence is dropped without a trace of critical occasions. At last, viability which is the exactness and information inertness of the framework that shifts as indicated by the application prerequisite and awareness. These days, the WSN are generally utilized in different application regions. These regions need WSN at times to save human lives or forestall issue event. WSN application regions can be extensively arranged into five fundamental sorts as per their natural details and explicit prerequisites as follows: Environmental Applications, Habitat Applications, Military Applications, Healthcare Applications, and Industrial Applications. Every application region has its own current circumstance limitations, QoS necessities, and resistances to be considered in the WSN framework as hub actual construction and information transmission conventions. The administrations applied by these applications can be examined in additional subtleties by showing the new exploration progress in each aspect.

(RT) 2 is planned as a dependable and opportune vehicle convention utilizing cooperative transportation of occasions [27]. It thinks about hubs as sensor hubs and entertainer hubs: When sensor hubs recognize a set off occasion, detected information would be shipped off the base station (BS) through dynamic hubs. In (RT) 2, blockage is distinguished and constrained by entertainer hubs. In [28], the creators show that the (RT)2 convention can adjust effectively to the heterogeneous idea of WSNs by dint of its setup and gives continuous correspondence and different dependability necessities, which helps in diminishing energy utilization, yet it consumes a lot of the data transmission.

Predominantly intended for the unique occasion recognition in WSNs, LTRES decides the occasion detecting devotion level (ESFE) as per which the end-hubs change their source rates [29]. It performs network traffic light, which depends on disseminated source rate transformation and guarantees solid occasion identification, yet the utilization of the source rate variation system makes it exceptionally covetous in energy.

As a dependable and opportune occasion discovery transport convention, RRRT utilizes a joined blockage control component to accomplish dependability and moderate energy [30]. Analysts in [18] have shown that RRRT convention further develops energy monitoring and guarantees dependable occasion identification because of the detailing recurrence changes, yet it presents elevated degrees of additional above in light of the clog discovery and control strategies.

It guarantees different occasion identification in WSNS with the better pace of energy utilization by dint of the consolidated payload control part as this convention joins all payloads at a chosen CH and sent just a single bundle to the sink, which gives less traffic. Notwithstanding having elevated degrees of additional above, SMESRT enjoys the benefit of appointing different detailing recurrence for various occasions [31].

CODAR is intended to diminish the dormancy and to give dependable steering of the basic information through various strategies of alleviation, clog evasion and End-to-end conveyance information the executives. It separates the sensors into the basic hubs (hubs nearer to the occasion) and normal hubs (hubs from an occasion). Encounters in [30] show that this convention evades blocked hubs to diminish clog in the organization enjoys the benefit of conveying a high measure of the basic information inside determined delays, however it stays unsatisfactory for huge organizations [32].

In light of three primary techniques, this convention guarantees quick and solid occasions discovery [33] in various sorts of WSNs. Among the qualities of this convention is that it addresses a completely conveyed plot convention, which brings about its fast occasions recognition.

In view of the grouping system, the EELLER convention eliminates information overt repetitiveness and gives streamlined courses to send information from end-hubs to sinks [34]. To send detected information, EELLER fabricates the interstates in light of a connection element, and afterward it sends collected information through framed groups. In [35], analysts show that this convention gives proficient energy the executives and exact even discovery by dint of the information conglomeration strategies, yet it is less dependable.

As an energy-effectiveness convention, IQAR utilizes IQ requirements to find the most minimal expense directing tree [36]. It permits hubs to come to freely a conclusion about an occasion to check and track it. Research demonstrates that this convention improves the QoS and helps in saving deferral and energy in the organization yet presents elevated degrees of overheads [37].

#### **3.** Conclusion:

The WSN system's structure can take on any shape depending on the requirements of the application. Applications on the ground, underground, and underwater have all been covered by WSN. Energy harvesting, which primarily affects network lifetime, is one of the most crucial parts of WSN design. Single setup networks typically don't have any setup overhead, which has a beneficial impact on stability but a negative impact on network lifetime. The lifespan study of the most energy-efficient proactive routing methods for a homogenous system has thus far been covered. Three fundamental requirements—network adaptability or fault tolerance, network overhead during network setup and reset-up, and route selection for data transmission—affect the energy efficiency of WSN.

#### **References:**

[1]. Willig, A., Matheus, K., & Wolisz, A. (2005). Wireless technology in industrial networks. Proceedings of the IEEE, 93(6), 1130–1151.

[2]. Sazonov, E. (2016). Wireless intelligent sensor network for autonomous structural health monitoring. In Proceedings of the SPIE (Vol. 5384, pp. 305–314).

[3]. Wang, J., Niu, Y., Cho, J., & Lee, S. (2007). Analysis of energy consumption in direct transmission and multi-hop transmission for wireless sensor networks. In 2007 3rd international IEEE conference on signal-image technology and internet-based systems (pp. 275–280).

[4]. Mhatre, V., & Rosenberg, C., Mhatre, V., & Rosenberg, C. (2004). Homogeneous vs heterogeneous clustered sensor networks: A comparative study. In 2004 IEEE international conference on communications (IEEE Cat. No. 04CH37577) (Vol. 6, pp. 1–6) <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ICC.2004.1313223</u>. Homogeneo.

[5]. Yuan, H.-Y., Dai, J.-G., & Li, X.-L. (2007). An energyefficient clustering algorithm in wireless sensor networks. Chinese Journal of Sensors Actuators, 20(12), 131–142.

[6]. Yun, Y., Member, S., & Xia, Y. (2010). Maximizing the lifetime of wireless sensor networks with mobile sink in delay-tolerant applications. IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing, 9(9), 1308–1318.

[7]. Waheed Khan, A., Abdullah, A. H., Anisi, M. H., & Iqbal Bangash, J. (2014). A comprehensive study of data collection

schemes using mobile sinks in wireless sensor networks. Sensors (Switzerland), 14(2), 2510–2548.

[8]. Lenzini, L., Martorini, L., Mingozzi, E., & Stea, G. (2006). Tight end-to-end per-flow delay bounds in FIFO multiplexing sink-tree networks. Performance Evaluation, 63(9–10), 956–987.

[9]. Liang, W., Luo, J., & Xu, X. (2010). Prolonging network lifetime via a controlled mobile sink in wireless sensor networks. In GLOBECOM—IEEE global telecommunication conference.

[10]. Mudumbai, R., Brown, D. R., Madhow, U., & Poor, H. V. (2009). Distributed transmit beamforming: Challenges and recent progress. IEEE Communications Magazine, 47(2), 102–110.

[11]. Smaragdakis, G., Matta, I., & Bestavros, A. (2004). SEP: A stable election protocol for clustered heterogeneous wireless sensor networks. In 2nd international workshop on sensor and actor network protocols and application (SANPA 2004) (pp. 1–11).

[12]. Rawat, P., Singh, K. D., Chaouchi, H., & Bonnin, J. M. (2014). Wireless sensor networks: A survey on recent developments and potential synergies. The Journal of Supercomputing, 68(1), 1–48.

[13]. Naeimi, S., Ghafghazi, H., Chow, C. O., & Ishii, H. (2012). A survey on the taxonomy of cluster-based routing protocols for homogeneous wireless sensor networks. Sensors (Switzerland), 12(6), 7350–7409.

[14]. Singh, S. P., & Sharma, S. C. (2015). A survey on cluster based routing protocols in wireless sensor networks. Procedia Computer Science, 45(C), 687–695.

[15]. Garcı'a-herna'ndez, C. F., Ibargu'engoytia-gonza'lez, P. H., Garcı'a-herna'ndez, J., & Pe'rez-dı'az, J. A. (2007). Wireless sensor networks and applications: A survey. Journal of Computer Science, 7(3), 264–273.

[16]. Shi, E., & Perrig, A. (2004). Designing secure sensor networks. IEEE Wireless Communications, 11(6), 38–43.

[17]. Rault, T., Bouabdallah, A., Challal, Y., Rault, T., Bouabdallah, A., Challal, Y., et al. (2014). Energy efficiency in wireless sensor networks: a top-down survey. Computer Network, 67, 104–122.

[18]. Khan, J. A., Qureshi, H. K., & Iqbal, A. (2016). Energy management in wireless sensor networks: A survey. Computers & Electrical Engineering, 41, 159–176.

[19]. Anisi, M. H., Abdul-Salaam, G., & Abdullah, A. H. (2015). A survey of wireless sensor network approaches and their energy consumption for monitoring farm fields in precision agriculture. Precision Agriculture, 16(2), 216–238.

[20]. Asharioun, H., Asadollahi, H., Wan, T. C., & Gharaei, N. (2015). A survey on analytical modeling and mitigation techniques for the energy hole problem in corona-based wireless sensor network. Wireless Personal Communications, 81(1), 161–187.

[21]. Liu, X. (2015). Atypical hierarchical routing protocols for wireless sensor networks: A review. IEEE Sensors Journal, 15(10), 5372–5383.

[22]. Maimour, M., Zeghilet, H., & Lepage, F. (2010). Cluster-based routing protocols for energy efficiency in wireless sensor networks. Sustainable Wireless Sensor Networks. https://doi.org/10.5772/13274.

[23]. Balen, J., Zagar, D., & Martinovic, G. (2011). Quality of service in wireless sensor networks: A survey and related patents. Recent Patents on Computer Science, 4(3), 188–202.

[24]. Liu, X. (2012). A survey on clustering routing protocols in wireless sensor networks. Sensors, 12(8), 11113–11153.

[25]. Pantazis, N. A., Nikolidakis, S. A., Vergados, D. D., & Member, S. (2013). Energy-efficient routing protocols in wireless sensor networks: A survey. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, 15(2), 551–591.

[26]. Curry, R. M., Smith, J. C., Curry, R. M., Hall, F., & Hall, F. (2016). A survey of optimization algorithms for wireless sensor network lifetime maximization. Computers & Industrial Engineering, 101, 145–166.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2016.08.028.

[27]. Gungor, V. C., Hancke, G. P., & Member, S. (2009). Industrial wireless sensor networks: Challenges, design principles, and technical approaches. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, 56(10), 4258–4265.

[28]. Lee, I., & Lee, K. (2015). The internet of things (IoT): Applications, investments, and challenges for enterprises. Business Horizons, 58(4), 431–440.

[29]. Bhatti, S., & Xu, J. X. J. (2009). Survey of target tracking protocols using wireless sensor network. In 2009 5th international conference on wireless and mobile communications (pp. 110–115).

[30]. Barrenetxea, G., Ingelrest, F., Schaefer, G., Vetterli, M., Couach, O., & Parlange, M. (2008). SensorScope: Out-of-thebox environmental monitoring. In Proceedings of the 2008 international conference on information processing in sensor networks, IPSN 2008 (pp. 332–343).

[31]. Balister, P., & Kumar, S. (2009). Random vs. deterministic deployment of sensors in the presence of failures and placement errors. In Proceedings of the IEEE INFOCOM, Section III (pp. 2896–2900).

[32]. Halder, S., & Ghosal, A. (2016). A location-wise predetermined deployment for optimizing lifetime in visual sensor networks. IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, 26(6), 1131–1145.

[33]. Zou, Y., & Chakrabarty, K. (2003). Sensor deployment and target localization based on virtual forces. In 22nd annual joint conference of the IEEE computer and communications (Vol. 2, no. C, pp. 1293–1303).

[34]. Wang, X., Wang, S., & Ma, J. (2006). Dynamic deployment optimization in wireless sensor networks in wireless sensor networks. Optimization, 1, 182–187.

[35]. Jovanov, E., & Milenkovic, A. (2011). Body area networks for ubiquitous healthcare applications: Opportunities and challenges. Journal of Medical Systems, 35(5), 1245–1254.

[36]. Akyildiz, I. F., & Stuntebeck, E. P. (2006). Wireless underground sensor networks: Research challenges. Ad Hoc Networks, 4(6), 669–686.

[37]. Felemban, E., Shaikh, F. K., Qureshi, U. M., Sheikh, A. A., & Bin Qaisar, S. (2015). Underwater sensor network applications: A comprehensive survey. International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks, 11, 896832.

[38]. Akyildiz, I. F., Wang, P., & Lin, S. C. (2015). SoftWater: Software-defined networking for nextgeneration underwater communication systems. Ad Hoc Networks, 46, 1–11.

[39]. Bokareva, T., Hu, W., Kanhere, S., Ristic, B., & Wales, N. S. (2006). Wireless sensor networks for battlefield surveillance. In Signal processing (pp. 1–5).

[40]. Lazarescu, M. T. (2013). Design of a WSN platform for long-term environmental monitoring for IoT applications. The

IEEE Journal on Emerging and Selected Topics in Circuits and Systems, 3(1), 45–54.

[41]. Yang, Z., Li, M., & Liu, Y. (2007). Sea depth measurement with restricted floating sensors. In Proceedings of the real-time systems symposium (Vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 469–478).

[42]. Spachos, P., & Hatzinakos, D. (2013). Prototypes of opportunistic wireless sensor networks supporting indoor air quality monitoring. In 2013 IEEE 10th consumer, communications and networks conference (pp. 851–852).

[43]. Magno, M., Polonelli, T., Benini, L., & Popovici, E. (2015). A low cost, highly scalable wireless sensor network solution to achieve smart LED light control for green buildings. IEEE Sensors Journal, 15(5), 2963–2973.