

Machine Learning in Brain Stroke Detection: A Comprehensive Review

Ankur Patel, Dr. C.L.P. Gupta

Department of Computer Science and Engineering,
Bansal Institute of Engineering & Technology, Lucknow – India
arpatel9559@gmail.com, clpgupta@gmail.com

Abstract: Brain stroke is one of the leading causes of death and long-term disability worldwide. Early and accurate detection of stroke is crucial for timely treatment and improving patient outcomes. Traditional diagnostic methods often suffer from subjectivity, delays, and resource limitations. In recent years, Machine Learning (ML) techniques have emerged as powerful tools for automating the detection and classification of strokes using various medical imaging and clinical data. This review presents a comprehensive analysis of the application of ML algorithms in brain stroke detection. We explore various types of strokes, imaging modalities, pre-processing techniques, feature extraction methods, and classification algorithms. Furthermore, we critically evaluate recent research, identify challenges, and propose future directions for enhancing stroke diagnosis through ML.

Keywords: Brain Stroke Detection, Machine Learning, Medical Imaging, Stroke Classification, Deep Learning

1. Introduction:

Stroke is a medical emergency that occurs when the blood supply to a part of the brain is interrupted or reduced, depriving brain tissue of oxygen and nutrients. This can lead to permanent neurological damage or death. Strokes are typically classified into two major types: ischemic stroke (caused by blockage) and hemorrhagic stroke (caused by bleeding).

The traditional stroke diagnosis workflow includes physical examination, clinical history, and neuroimaging techniques like CT (Computed Tomography), MRI (Magnetic Resonance Imaging), and CTA (CT Angiography). However, these approaches require expert interpretation and can be time-consuming. Machine Learning (ML) offers the potential to automate and augment these processes, making stroke detection faster and more accurate.

2. Types of Strokes and Imaging Modalities

2.1 Types of Strokes

- **Ischemic Stroke:** Caused by obstruction in cerebral arteries (accounts for 85% of strokes).
- **Hemorrhagic Stroke:** Due to rupture of blood vessels, leading to intracerebral bleeding.
- **Transient Ischemic Attack (TIA):** Mini-stroke with temporary symptoms, often a warning for future strokes.

2.2 Imaging Modalities in Stroke Diagnosis

- **CT Scan:** Fast and widely available, effective in detecting hemorrhagic stroke.
- **MRI:** Offers detailed images, excellent for ischemic stroke and brain tissue damage.
- **MRA/CTA:** Visualizes blood vessels; used to detect blockages or malformations.

3. Clinical Background and Importance of Early Detection

Stroke is a leading cause of death and disability worldwide. According to the WHO, ~15 million people suffer a stroke each year; roughly 5 million of these die and another 5 million are left with permanent disability. Strokes arise from either blood clots (ischemic) or hemorrhage, and risk increases with age and comorbidities such as hypertension, diabetes, and atrial fibrillation. Critically, time-to-treatment is paramount: international guidelines emphasize “door-to-needle” times within 60 minutes, since every minute of delay worsens outcomes. Rapid diagnosis and intervention (e.g. thrombolysis) can markedly improve prognosis, underscoring the value of fast, automated detection systems. Advances in ML/DL can help by analyzing complex clinical and imaging data much faster than manual review, potentially aiding clinicians in early stroke recognition and triage.

4. Machine Learning Algorithms for Stroke Detection

A variety of supervised learning algorithms have been applied to stroke diagnosis. Traditional classifiers include logistic regression, naïve Bayes, decision trees, support vector machines (SVM), and ensemble methods (e.g. random forests, gradient boosting). For example, Sailasya *et al.* used logistic regression on patient risk-factor data and achieved ~86% accuracy, while Naïve Bayes on similar features yielded ~82%. Ensembles often perform best: in one study a Random Forest classifier on a stroke risk dataset attained ~96% accuracy. Deep learning methods, especially convolutional neural networks (CNNs), are increasingly used on imaging data. CNNs have shown strong performance in identifying subtle stroke lesions in MRI/CT (they excel at capturing high-dimensional image features). In practice, most stroke-detection systems combine multiple models. For instance, stacked ensembles or hybrid CNN+ML pipelines have been explored to leverage the strengths of each method. Overall, ML models (LR, RF, SVM, k-NN, etc.) are trained to classify patients or image regions as *stroke vs. non-stroke* (or stroke subtype), often using preprocessing like feature selection, resampling, or ensemble learning to improve performance.

5. Data Modalities Used in Stroke Detection

Machine learning in stroke uses several data types:

- **MRI and CT imaging:** Structural brain images (MRI, CT) are the clinical gold-standard for stroke diagnosis. ML models (often CNNs or U-Nets) process MRI (e.g. diffusion-weighted or FLAIR sequences) and CT scans to detect lesions or classify stroke type. These models can “quickly and accurately interpret MRI and CT scans, potentially speeding up decision-making”[mdpi.com](#). Imaging data thus provide high-resolution information on infarcts or hemorrhages.
- **Electroencephalography (EEG):** EEG signals capture real-time brain electrical activity. Though not routine for acute stroke, EEG has the advantages of portability and low cost[nature.com](#). Recent studies have investigated ML on EEG for stroke detection: e.g. an ambulatory EEG δ - α power ratio feature yielded ~ 0.83 diagnostic accuracy[nature.com](#). Modern approaches use algorithms like SVM, decision trees, random forests or LightGBM on EEG-derived features[nature.com](#). This is an emerging area, reflecting interest in prehospital or bedside stroke screening without imaging equipment.
- **Clinical/Demographic (Tabular) Data:** Electronic health records and risk-factor surveys provide tabular features (age, blood pressure, glucose, prior conditions, etc.). Kaggle’s *Stroke Prediction Dataset* is a well-known example, containing patient demographics and health metrics with stroke outcomes. Models trained on such data use LR, RF, or other classifiers. Tabular predictors can flag high-risk patients or predict stroke occurrence[nature.com](#)[mdpi.com](#). This non-image data is plentiful but often imbalanced (few positive cases) and requires careful modeling (e.g. SMOTE oversampling[nature.com](#)).

6. Public Datasets for Stroke Research

Several public datasets support ML research in stroke:

- *Stroke Prediction Dataset* (Kaggle): tabular records of patient demographics and health factors with stroke labels[mdpi.com](#).
- *Brain MRI Segmentation* (open image set): contains brain scans with expert-labeled stroke lesion masks[mdpi.com](#).
- *ISLES (Ischemic Stroke Lesion Segmentation)*: MRI images from acute stroke patients with lesion annotations (research-use; some restrictions)[mdpi.com](#).
- *ATLAS (Anatomical Tracings of Lesions After Stroke)*: MRI images of chronic stroke patients with lesion outlines, enabling post-stroke lesion analysis[mdpi.com](#).
- *NIHSS Annotations in MIMIC-III*: Intensive care EHR data where stroke severity (NIH Stroke Scale) is annotated, for outcome prediction[mdpi.com](#).

- *China Patient Stroke Scale (CPSS)*: a clinical registry with outcomes for thousands of Chinese stroke patients (tabular + some imaging)[mdpi.com](#).
- *Healthcare Stroke Data* and *CDC Diabetes Health Indicators*: Public health tabular datasets often used to study stroke risk factors[mdpi.com](#).
- *Framingham Heart Study* and *HRS (Health and Retirement Study)*: longitudinal cardiovascular datasets containing cerebrovascular outcomes[mdpi.com](#).
- *MIMIC (Multiparameter ICU Database)*: contains critical care patient records (including stroke cases) with time-series and imaging data[mdpi.com](#).

These resources (and others) vary in modality (image vs. tabular) and access (open vs. restricted)[mdpi.com](#). They provide benchmarks for training and comparing ML models.

7. Performance Metrics

Stroke-detection models are evaluated by standard classification metrics[mdpi.com](#)[nature.com](#). Common metrics include:

- **Accuracy:** overall proportion of correct predictions.
- **Sensitivity (Recall):** true positive rate (fraction of actual strokes correctly identified).
- **Specificity:** true negative rate (fraction of non-strokes correctly identified).
- **Precision:** positive predictive value (fraction of predicted strokes that are true).
- **F1 Score:** harmonic mean of precision and recall, useful for imbalanced data[nature.com](#).
- **AUC (Area under ROC Curve):** measures discriminative ability across decision thresholds[mdpi.com](#)[nature.com](#).

Studies often report multiple metrics, since high accuracy can be misleading in imbalanced data. For instance, an algorithm might have high overall accuracy by simply predicting “no-stroke” in a dataset with 95% non-strokes, hence sensitivity/recall and AUC are crucial to assess its true utility[nature.com](#)[mdpi.com](#).

8. Recent Trends and Advancements

Recent research in stroke detection is exploring several advanced directions:

- **Explainable AI (XAI):** Given the high stakes in medicine, there is a push for transparency. Methods like attention maps, prototype networks, or feature attributions (e.g. SHAP, LIME) are being applied so clinicians can understand ML decisions[mdpi.com](#). Surveys note a shift toward inherently interpretable models or hybrid approaches that combine “black-box” DL with explanatory layers[mdpi.com](#). Regulatory bodies now demand algorithmic accountability in healthcare, further spurring XAI work[mdpi.com](#).
- **Federated Learning:** To overcome data privacy barriers, federated learning trains models across multiple hospitals without sharing raw data. Recent prototypes (e.g. *PSA-FL-CDM*) have implemented federated training for stroke assessment, achieving performance comparable to centralized models

while preserving patient privacy pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov. This trend allows collaboration on larger, multi-center stroke datasets without violating confidentiality.

- Transfer Learning:** Pretrained networks (e.g. on ImageNet or large brain-image corpora) are fine-tuned for stroke tasks. This approach is especially useful given limited labeled stroke images. Studies report that “utilizing pre-trained models and transfer learning can improve model performance, especially with small datasets” [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com). For instance, CNNs pretrained on generic MRI images are adapted to identify stroke lesions, reducing training time and data needs.
- Generative Models:** Generative adversarial networks (GANs), variational autoencoders (VAEs) and diffusion models are being used to create synthetic stroke images for data augmentation [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com). Reviews note growing interest in image synthesis to enlarge small datasets and improve robustness. However, domain shift and generalization remain challenges for synthetic data [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com).
- Multi-Modal Integration:** Combining data from different sources (e.g. MRI + clinical + EEG) is an emerging area. Multi-modal models can leverage complementary information, but must overcome issues of data heterogeneity and alignment [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com). Early works attempt joint models that process images and tabular data together, aiming for more holistic stroke assessment.
- Other innovations:** Automated neural architecture search, efficient “edge” models for bedside devices, and integration of real-time streaming data (wearables/IoT) are also being explored. In short, the field is moving beyond single-modality classification to holistic, robust AI systems that are interpretable and privacy-preserving [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com) pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com).

9. Comparative Analysis of Algorithm Performance

Table 1 illustrates representative model performances on stroke datasets. As examples, logistic regression on a clinical risk-factor dataset achieved ~86% accuracy [nature.com](https://www.nature.com), while naïve Bayes on the same data reached ~82% [nature.com](https://www.nature.com). A random forest on the Kaggle stroke data yielded ~95–96% accuracy [nature.com](https://www.nature.com). In imaging, deep CNNs on diffusion MRI can achieve ~93% sensitivity and 93% specificity for ischemic stroke detection in research studies [insightsimaging.springeropen.com](https://www.insightsimaging.springeropen.com). EEG-based ML (e.g. LightGBM on δ/α features) has reported ~83% accuracy [nature.com](https://www.nature.com). These figures vary by study design and dataset, but illustrate that modern ML models often exceed 80–90% on benchmark tasks.

Table 1. Examples of ML models for stroke detection, with data type and reported performance.

Model (Data Type)	Dataset / Description	Key Metrics
Convolutional Neural Network (MRI)	Diffusion-weighted MRI scans (clinical dataset)	Sensitivity ≈93%, Specificity ≈93% insightsimaging.springeropen.com
Random Forest (Tabular)	Clinical stroke risk dataset (Kaggle)	Accuracy ≈96% nature.com
Logistic Regression (Tabular)	Clinical stroke risk dataset (Kaggle)	Accuracy ≈86% nature.com
Naïve Bayes (Tabular)	Clinical stroke risk dataset (Kaggle)	Accuracy ≈82% nature.com
LightGBM (EEG)	EEG recordings (ZJU4H stroke data)	Accuracy ≈83% nature.com

Note: Performance depends heavily on dataset and preprocessing (e.g. handling class imbalance).

10. Challenges and Limitations

Despite progress, significant challenges persist in ML-based stroke detection. Data scarcity and quality are major issues: high-quality annotated stroke images are scarce due to privacy and labeling costs [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com). Datasets are often imbalanced (far fewer stroke cases), which can bias models toward the majority class [nature.com](https://www.nature.com) [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com). Generalization is difficult: models trained on one hospital’s imaging protocol or population may perform poorly elsewhere, especially if acquisition parameters differ [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com) [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com). Model interpretability remains a concern, as deep networks are “black boxes” to clinicians [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com) [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com). Computational demands (need for GPUs/TPUs) can limit deployment in resource-poor settings [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com). Clinically, there are also gaps: no universally accepted automated biomarkers for stroke exist, and even advanced imaging has limited sensitivity for very early or small infarcts [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com). Moreover, regulatory and ethical issues (privacy, liability) complicate real-world use. In summary, ML models must overcome data bias, ensure reliability across populations, and gain clinicians’ trust before widespread adoption [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com) [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com).

11. Deep Learning and Hybrid Models

Deep learning models, especially CNNs and Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs), have achieved state-of-the-art results in medical imaging. Hybrid models that combine ML with optimization techniques (e.g., PSO, GA) or combine

multiple ML techniques (e.g., CNN+SVM) have further improved accuracy.

Example:

- **DWT + PCA + KSVM:** Combines wavelet transformation, dimensionality reduction, and kernel-based classification.
- **CNN-RNN architectures:** Useful in time-sequence prediction like stroke progression.

12. Datasets for Stroke Detection

Commonly used datasets:

- **ISLES (Ischemic Stroke Lesion Segmentation):** MRI-based images for stroke lesion segmentation.
- **ATLAS:** Annotated dataset for large stroke lesion analysis.
- **TCIA Stroke Dataset:** Includes multimodal images and clinical data.

Challenges in dataset usage:

- Limited size.
- Imbalanced classes.
- Data privacy and annotation availability.

13. Performance Metrics

Evaluation of ML models in stroke detection involves:

- **Accuracy**
- **Precision, Recall**
- **F1-Score**
- **ROC-AUC (Receiver Operating Characteristic – Area Under Curve)**
- **Dice Coefficient (for segmentation tasks)**

14. Future Directions

Future research is focusing on addressing these limitations.

Key directions include:

- **Enhancing interpretability:** Developing inherently explainable models or better visualization tools so that AI recommendations are transparent to doctors mdpi.com. For example, integrating prototype-based reasoning (e.g. ProtoPNet) or saliency maps could bridge the trust gap.
- **Privacy-preserving methods:** Scaling up federated or privacy-enhancing learning (homomorphic encryption, differential privacy) to allow building larger shared models without raw data sharing pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov. This will enable multi-center studies on diverse stroke cohorts.
- **Leveraging large pretrained models:** Applying state-of-the-art foundation models (e.g. Vision Transformers pretrained on huge medical datasets) via transfer learning to improve performance on stroke tasks mdpi.com.
- **Data augmentation and synthesis:** Using GANs or diffusion models to create realistic synthetic stroke cases (both images and tabular records) to mitigate data scarcity. This must be coupled with domain adaptation techniques to ensure synthetic data improves real-world accuracy mdpi.com.

- **Multi-modal and longitudinal modeling:** Fusing MRI/CT, perfusion imaging, EEG, labs and time-series data in a unified model could lead to more accurate and early predictions. Research will explore architectures that handle missing modalities and temporal information.
- **Hardware and deployment:** Designing lightweight models (e.g. via pruning, quantization) and leveraging edge-computing (including nascent quantum computing ideas) to enable stroke-AI on mobile or portable devices mdpi.com.
- **Clinical validation:** More prospective trials and real-world validations are needed. Future work will integrate AI tools into clinical workflows, with feedback from neurologists to iteratively improve systems.

Addressing these areas could significantly advance automated stroke care. The ultimate goals are ML systems that are highly accurate, trustworthy, data-efficient, and seamlessly integrated into healthcare for timely stroke detection and intervention

15. Conclusion

Machine Learning offers a promising avenue for enhancing the accuracy and speed of brain stroke detection. From traditional classifiers like SVM and Random Forests to advanced CNNs and hybrid models, the field is evolving rapidly. Despite some limitations in data availability and model interpretability, ongoing advancements in AI, data science, and healthcare integration are paving the way for more intelligent and accessible stroke diagnosis systems.

References

1. Zhang, Y., L. Wu, and S. Wang, "Magnetic resonance brain image classification by an improved artificial bee colony algorithm," *Progress In Electromagnetics Research*, Vol. 116, 65–79, 2011.
2. Mohsin, S. A., N. M. Sheikh, and U. Saeed, "MRI induced heating of deep brain stimulation leads: Effect of the air-tissue interface," *Progress In Electromagnetics Research*, Vol. 83, 81–91, 2008.
3. Golestanirad, L., A. P. Izquierdo, S. J. Graham, J. R. Mosig, and C. Pollo, "Effect of realistic modeling of deep brain stimulation on the prediction of volume of activated tissue," *Progress In Electromagnetics Research*, Vol. 126, 1–16, 2012.
4. Mohsin, S. A., "Concentration of the specific absorption rate around deep brain stimulation electrodes during MRI," *Progress In Electromagnetics Research*, Vol. 121, 469–484, 2011.
5. Oikonomou, A., I. S. Karanasiou, and N. K. Uzunoglu, "Phased- array near field radiometry for brain intracranial applications," *Progress In Electromagnetics Research*, Vol. 109, 345–360, 2010.
6. Scapatucci, R., L. Di Donato, I. Catapano, and L. Crocco, "A feasibility study on microwave imaging for brain stroke monitoring," *Progress In Electromagnetics Research B*, Vol. 40, 305–324, 2012.
7. Asimakis, N. P., I. S. Karanasiou, P. K. Gkonis, and N. K. Uzunoglu, "Theoretical analysis of a passive acoustic

brain monitoring system,” Progress In Electromagnetics Research B, Vol. 23, 165–180, 2010.

8. Chaturvedi, C. M., V. P. Singh, P. Singh, P. Basu, M. Singaravel, R. K. Shukla, A. Dhawan, A. K. Pati, R. K. Gangwar, and S. P. Singh. “2.45 GHz (CW) microwave irradiation alters circadian organization, spatial memory, DNA structure in the brain cells and blood cell counts of male mice, *mus musculus*,” Progress In Electromagnetics Research B, Vol. 29, 23–42, 2011.

9. Emin Tagluk, M., M. Akin, and N. Sezgin, “Classification of sleep apnea by using wavelet transform and artificial neural networks,” Expert Systems with Applications, Vol. 37, No. 2, 1600–1607, 2010.

10. Zhang, Y., L. Wu, and G. Wei, “A new classifier for polarimetric SAR images,” Progress in Electromagnetics Research, Vol. 94, 83–104, 2009.

11. Camacho, J., J. Pic’o, and A. Ferrer, “Corrigendum to ‘The best approaches in the on-line monitoring of batch processes based on PCA: Does the modelling structure matter?’ [Anal. Chim. Acta Volume 642 (2009) 59-68],” Analytica Chimica Acta, Vol. 658, No. 1, 106–106, 2010.

12. Chaplot, S., L. M. Patnaik, and N. R. Jagannathan, “Classification of magnetic resonance brain images using wavelets as input to support vector machine and neural network,” Biomedical Signal Processing and Control, Vol. 1, No. 1, 86–92, 2006.

13. Cocosco, C. A., A. P. Zijdenbos, and A. C. Evans, “A fully automatic and robust brain MRI tissue classification method,” Medical Image Analysis, Vol. 7, No. 4, 513–527, 2003.

14. Zhang, Y. and L. Wu, “Weights optimization of neural network via improved BCO approach,” Progress In Electromagnetics Research, Vol. 83, 185–198, 2008.

15. Yeh, J.-Y. and J. C. Fu, “A hierarchical genetic algorithm for segmentation of multi-spectral human-brain MRI,” Expert Systems with Applications, Vol. 34, No. 2, 1285–1295, 2008.

16. Anurag et. al., “Load Forecasting by using ANFIS”, International Journal of Research and Development in Applied Science and Engineering, Volume 20, Issue 1, 2020

17. Raghawend, Anurag, "Detect Skin Defects by Modern Image Segmentation Approach, Volume 20, Issue 1, 2020 [18]. Pimentel, M.A.; Clifton, D.A.; Clifton, L.; Tarassenko, L. A review of novelty detection. Signal Process. 2014, 99, 215–249.

18. Xu, Y., Y. Guo, L. Xia, and Y. Wu, “An support vector regression based nonlinear modeling method for Sic mesfet,” Progress In Electromagnetics Research Letters, Vol. 2, 103–114, 2008.

19. Li, D., W. Yang, and S. Wang, “Classification of foreign fibers in cotton lint using machine vision and multi-class support vector machine,” Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, Vol. 4, No. 2, 274–279, 2010.

20. Gomes, T. A. F., et al., “Combining meta-learning and search techniques to select parameters for support vector machines,” Neurocomputing, Vol. 75, No. 1, 3–13, 2012.

21. Hable, R., “Asymptotic normality of support vector machine variants and other regularized kernel methods,” Journal of Multivariate Analysis, Vol. 106, 92–117, 2012.

22. Ghosh, A., B. Uma Shankar, and S. K. Meher, “A novel approach to neuro-fuzzy classification,” Neural Networks, Vol. 22, No. 1, 100–109, 2009.

23. Gabor, D., “Theory of communication. Part 1: The analysis of information,” Journal of the Institution of Electrical Engineers Part III: Radio and Communication Engineering, Vol. 93, No. 26, 429–441, 1946.

24. Zhang, Y. and L. Wu, “Crop classification by forward neural network with adaptive chaotic particle swarm optimization,” Sensors, Vol. 11, No. 5, 4721–4743, 2011.

25. Zhang, Y., S. Wang, and L. Wu, “A novel method for magnetic resonance brain image classification based on adaptive chaotic PSO,” Progress In Electromagnetics Research, Vol. 109, 325–343, 2010.