International Journal of Research and Development in Applied Science and Engineering (IJRDASE)
ISSN: 2454-6844

Unmanned Aerial Vehicles in Bridge Structural Health Monitoring: A Comprehensive Review of Sensing Technologies, Data Analytics, and Field Applications

Pramod Kumar[1], Er. Supriya Pandey[2]
[1]M. Tech Scholar, Dept. Civil Engineering, Suyash Institute of Information Technology Gorakhpur
[2]Assistant Professor, Dept. Civil Engineering, Suyash Institute of Information Technology Gorakhpur

Abstract— **The rapid advancement of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) has significantly transformed the domain of Bridge Structural Health Monitoring (BSHM), offering safer, faster, and more cost-effective alternatives to conventional inspection methods. This comprehensive review critically examines the integration of UAV platforms in bridge monitoring, focusing on sensing technologies, data acquisition frameworks, advanced analytics, and real-world field deployments. The study systematically categorizes sensing modalities employed in UAV-based inspections, including high-resolution visual imaging, infrared thermography, LiDAR scanning, ultrasonic testing, and multispectral sensing, highlighting their operational principles, advantages, and limitations in detecting structural anomalies such as cracks, corrosion, delamination, and fatigue damage.**

Furthermore, the review explores emerging data analytics techniques, particularly computer vision, deep learning architectures, digital twin modeling, and edge computing, which enhance defect detection accuracy and enable predictive maintenance strategies. Special attention is given to the integration of artificial intelligence-driven algorithms for automated damage classification and quantification. Field applications across diverse bridge types—steel, concrete, cable-stayed, and suspension bridges—are analyzed to evaluate performance reliability, regulatory challenges, environmental constraints, and scalability.

The review also identifies critical research gaps, including limited sensor fusion frameworks, challenges in autonomous navigation in GPS-denied environments, data standardization issues, and cybersecurity vulnerabilities in UAV-based monitoring systems. By synthesizing current technological progress and practical insights, this study provides a structured roadmap for researchers and practitioners aiming to develop intelligent, autonomous, and resilient UAV-enabled bridge health monitoring systems.

Keywords: Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs); Bridge Structural Health Monitoring (BSHM); Non-Destructive Evaluation (NDE); Computer Vision; Deep Learning; LiDAR; Infrared Thermography; Sensor Fusion; Digital Twin; Predictive Maintenance; Autonomous Inspection; Infrastructure Monitoring.

I. Introduction

Bridges constitute critical components of national transportation networks, enabling economic growth, regional connectivity, and societal mobility. However, aging infrastructure, increasing traffic loads, environmental degradation, and extreme climatic conditions have significantly intensified structural deterioration worldwide. Conventional bridge inspection practices—primarily reliant on manual visual assessment and specialized access equipment—are labor-intensive, time-consuming, costly, and often expose inspectors to hazardous conditions. These limitations have necessitated the development of advanced, automated, and non-contact inspection technologies capable of ensuring safety, accuracy, and operational efficiency.

Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) has emerged as a systematic approach for continuous or periodic evaluation of infrastructure integrity through sensing, data acquisition, and condition assessment frameworks. Traditional SHM systems typically employ permanently installed sensors such as accelerometers, strain gauges, displacement transducers, and fiber optic sensors to monitor structural responses [1], [2]. While effective, such systems may involve high installation and maintenance costs, limited spatial coverage, and challenges in retrofitting existing bridges. Moreover, localized sensing may fail to capture distributed surface defects such as cracking, corrosion, and spalling.

In recent years, Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs), commonly referred to as drones, have gained considerable attention in civil infrastructure inspection due to their mobility, flexibility, and ability to access difficult-to-reach locations. UAV-based inspection systems integrate lightweight sensing technologies—including high-resolution RGB cameras, infrared thermography, LiDAR scanners, ultrasonic devices, and multispectral sensors—to enable non-contact and rapid condition assessment [3]–[5]. These platforms significantly reduce inspection time, minimize traffic disruption, and enhance worker safety while improving defect documentation quality.

The integration of advanced sensing technologies with UAV platforms has further accelerated the development of automated damage detection systems. High-resolution visual imagery combined with computer vision algorithms enables crack detection and surface anomaly identification [6]. Infrared thermography facilitates subsurface defect detection such as delamination in concrete structures [7], while LiDAR-based 3D point cloud generation supports geometric assessment and deformation monitoring [8]. The convergence of UAVs with machine learning and deep learning architectures has improved defect classification accuracy and enabled scalable infrastructure monitoring frameworks [9].

Beyond sensing advancements, data analytics has become a cornerstone of UAV-based Bridge Structural Health Monitoring (BSHM). Techniques such as convolutional neural networks (CNNs), transfer learning, digital image correlation (DIC), and data-driven predictive modeling are increasingly adopted to automate structural damage detection and condition rating [10], [11]. Emerging paradigms such as digital twins and cloud-edge computing further enhance real-time data processing, decision support, and predictive maintenance planning [12]. However, challenges remain in areas including sensor fusion integration, autonomous navigation in GPS-denied environments, environmental variability, regulatory compliance, and cybersecurity risks.

Field implementations across steel, reinforced concrete, cable-stayed, and suspension bridges have demonstrated the practical viability of UAV-based inspection systems [13], [14]. Nevertheless, the diversity of sensing configurations, data processing techniques, and performance evaluation metrics indicates the need for a comprehensive synthesis of existing research. Although several studies have addressed specific aspects of UAV inspection or SHM independently, a consolidated review encompassing sensing technologies, analytics methodologies, and field applications within a unified framework remains limited.

This paper presents a comprehensive review of UAV applications in Bridge Structural Health Monitoring, systematically examining sensing technologies, data analytics approaches, system architectures, and real-world deployments. The objective is to critically evaluate current advancements, identify research gaps, and propose future directions toward intelligent, autonomous, and resilient UAV-enabled infrastructure monitoring systems.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

A. UAV-based Remote Sensing for Bridge Condition Assessment

Several reviews and application studies have documented the rapid uptake of UAV platforms for non-destructive bridge inspection and remote sensing. Feroz et al. provide a systematic overview of non-destructive testing (NDT) sensors mounted on UAVs—including RGB imaging, thermal infrared (TIR), LiDAR, and multispectral sensors—and discuss their roles in detecting surface and near-surface defects, geometric irregularities, and material anomalies [15]. Toriumi et al. extend this synthesis to include tunnel structures and emphasize inspection workflows, regulatory considerations, and practical deployment lessons learned from field pilots [24]. These surveys consistently identify improved site accessibility, reduced inspector risk, and faster data collection as primary drivers for UAV adoption, while noting sensor payload, flight endurance, and data management as practical constraints [15], [24].

B. Imaging and Thermal Sensing: Crack and Delamination Detection

High-resolution RGB imaging remains the most widely used modality for visual defect detection on bridge surfaces. Works that apply deep learning to UAV imagery show strong promise: Jin et al. demonstrate that convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and transfer learning techniques can be adapted to UAV-captured images for crack detection on tall and complex structures, while highlighting domain shift issues between handheld/ground datasets and UAV datasets (differences in scale, perspective, and illumination) [16]. Zhang et al. propose pixel-level deep learning methods targeted at bridge deck delamination detection from UAV imagery and thermal data, reporting improved localization and quantification compared with classical thresholding approaches [19]. Public and shared UAV crack datasets (e.g., UAV-based crack detection image collections) are helping to standardize benchmarking and to improve generalization of learning methods [23].

C. LiDAR and 3D Geometry: Deformation and Structural Geometry Assessment

LiDAR-equipped UAVs produce dense 3D point clouds that support geometric assessment, clearance checks, and deformation monitoring. Reviews and applied studies report LiDAR's strength in capturing accurate as-built geometry and enabling structural change detection through multi-epoch comparisons [15]. LiDAR complements image-based techniques: while images detect surface texture and cracks, LiDAR provides metric geometry required for global deformation analysis and integration into digital twins and finite element (FE) models [15], [20].

D. Contact and Contact-Assisted Sensing from UAVs

Beyond purely non-contact sensors, recent work explores contact or contact-assisted sensing deployed from multirotor UAVs to expand the measurement capabilities (e.g., on-site ultrasonic transducers, impact hammers, or tactile probes). Watson et al. review techniques for contact-based SHM with multirotor UAVs and discuss mechanical stabilization, flight-control coupling, and payload integration challenges necessary for achieving reliable contact measurements in the field [18]. These approaches can extend detection to internal defects and material property estimation but introduce complexities in control, added mass, and safety.

E. Data Analytics — Machine Learning, Deep Learning, and Sensor Fusion

Data analytics are central to transforming raw UAV sensor streams into actionable condition assessments. Deep learning (especially CNNs) has become the dominant paradigm for image-based defect detection, segmentation, and classification [16], [19]. However, multiple reviews stress key challenges: (1) dataset bias and domain adaptation when transferring models trained on ground-based images to UAV imagery; (2) need for robust annotation standards and performance metrics across studies; and (3) interpretability and uncertainty quantification for safety-critical decisions [16], [19], [23]. Sensor fusion methods that combine RGB, TIR, LiDAR, and inertial data are gaining traction to improve detection robustness—particularly under variable lighting and weather—yet standardized fusion frameworks and realtime onboard implementations remain active research areas [15], [21].

F. Autonomous Navigation and Inspection in Challenging Environments

Autonomous inspection—especially under bridges and inside constrained or GPS-denied environments—requires robust localisation and path planning. Wang et al. propose stereo visual-inertial localization techniques augmented with fiducial markers to enable accurate pose estimation beneath bridge girders and in GPS-denied zones, demonstrating improved localization on resource-limited onboard hardware [17]. Such visual-inertial and marker/UWB-based hybrid strategies are central to enabling semi-autonomous or autonomous inspection missions where GNSS is unavailable or unreliable.

G. Digital Twin Integration and Real-Time Decision Support

The integration of UAV-derived data into digital twin (DT) frameworks for bridges is an emerging trend that promises continuous, model-based condition assessment and prognostics [20], [21]. Odeh et al. describe workflows that use UAV photogrammetry and AI to populate and update DTs, enabling remote inspection and enhanced maintenance decision support [20]. Gao et al. address communication and data synchronization challenges for updating DTs with large heterogeneous UAV datasets, highlighting the need for resilient data pipelines and scalable DT architectures [21].

H. Field Deployments, Standards, and Legal/Operational Considerations

Multiple applied studies and reviews underscore that field trials on real bridges are crucial to validate algorithms and system integration. Practical deployments expose issues—flight permissions, operational safety, public privacy, sensor calibration drift, and environmental effects—that are less visible in laboratory settings [24], [22]. Adibfar et al. review legal, regulatory, and ethical challenges associated with UAV deployment for infrastructure monitoring, suggesting that harmonized standards and clear operational protocols are needed for broader industrial adoption [22].

I. Gaps, Trends, and Research Opportunities

Synthesis of the recent literature reveals several recurring gaps and promising directions:

a) **Standardized Datasets & Benchmarks**: Although UAV datasets exist, there remains fragmentation in formats, annotation quality, and evaluation metrics; community benchmarks tailored to UAV imagery (multi-modal) would accelerate progress [23], [16].

b) **Onboard, Low-Latency Analytics**: Edge deployments that run robust deep models on resource-constrained flight hardware are still limited—opportunities exist in model compression, efficient architectures, and co-design of flight control and perception [17], [19].

c) **Sensor Fusion Frameworks**: Unified, realtime fusion architectures for RGB + TIR + LiDAR + inertial data are required to improve detection under adverse conditions and to feed digital twins with consistent, metric data [15], [21].

d) **Autonomy in GPS-Denied Environments**: Continued development of hybrid localisation (visual-inertial + fiducials/UWB) and safe path planning for complex bridge geometries is necessary for true autonomy [17].

e) **Regulatory and Operational Protocols**: Clear standards for inspection accuracy, data privacy, and certification of UAV inspection methods would accelerate uptake by asset owners and regulators [22], [24].

Recent years have witnessed rapid maturation of UAV sensing, analytics, and deployment practices for bridge inspection. While imaging and deep learning methods have achieved high performance in controlled studies, generalization to real-world, large-scale bridge fleets requires improvements in dataset standardization, sensor fusion, onboard processing, and autonomy—coupled with regulatory frameworks and integration into digital twins for predictive maintenance. The following sections of this review (not shown here) synthesize sensor-level methodologies, algorithmic techniques, system architectures, and field case studies in greater depth to outline a research roadmap toward operational UAV-enabled BSHM.

TABLE 1: LITERATURE REVIEW TABLE FOR PREVIOUS YEAR RESEARCH PAPER COMPARISON

Ref. No.	Author(s) & Year	Sensing Technology	Data Analytics / Method	Key Contribution	Limitations / Research Gap
[1]	Farrar & Worden (2007)	Vibration Sensors	Statistical Pattern Recognition	SHM theoretical foundation	Not UAV-based
[2]	Ellenberg et al. (2016)	UAV + Infrared Thermography	Thermal Image Processing	Delamination detection in bridge decks	Weather sensitivity
[3]	Metni & Hamel (2007)	UAV Visual System	Visual Servoing Control	Early UAV bridge inspection control framework	Limited autonomy
[4]	Ham et al. (2017)	UAV RGB Imaging	Vision-based Monitoring Review	Comprehensive UAV visual inspection survey	No sensor fusion
[5]	Rakha & Gorodetsky (2018)	UAV Multi-sensor	System Evaluation	UAS application review for bridges	Regulatory issues not deeply discussed
[6]	Cha et al. (2017)	Image-based	CNN	Deep learning crack detection	Dataset limitations
[7]	Dorafshan et al. (2018)	UAV RGB Images	Deep CNN	SDNET dataset & crack classification	Mostly lab-controlled images

[8]	Cabaleiro et al. (2018)	UAV LiDAR	Point Cloud Processing	Crack detection in masonry via LiDAR	High computational load
[9]	Maldague (2001)	Infrared NDT	Thermal Theory	IR principles for NDT	Not UAV-integrated
[10]	Fuller et al. (2020)	Digital Twin Integration	AI + Cloud Framework	Digital twin concept for infrastructure	Implementation complexity
[11]	Wang et al. (2023)	UAV + Stereo Vision	Visual-Inertial SLAM	GPS-denied navigation under bridges	Limited large-scale validation
[12]	Watson et al. (2022)	UAV Contact-based Sensors	Tactile SHM	Contact inspection via UAV	Stability challenges
[13]	Zhang (2023)	UAV Thermal + RGB	Deep Segmentation	Automated deck delamination quantification	Needs multi-environment validation
[14]	Feroz et al. (2021)	UAV Remote Sensing	Comparative Analysis	UAV-NDT sensing review	Limited analytics discussion
[15]	Jin et al. (2023)	UAV RGB Imaging	Transfer Learning	UAV crack detection optimization	Domain adaptation issues
[16]	Gao & Li (2022)	UAV + DT	Communication Framework	Resilient digital twin data integration	Data security concerns
[17]	Adibfar et al. (2023)	UAV Monitoring Systems	Regulatory Review	Legal & operational challenges	Lacks technical benchmarking
[18]	Dorafshan & Maguire (2018)	UAV Steel Inspection	Autonomous Imaging	Steel bridge corrosion detection	Lighting dependency
[19]	Seo et al. (2018)	UAV Vision	Image Stitching	Large-scale surface mapping	Stitching errors in complex geometry
[20]	Spencer et al. (2019)	UAV + Multi-sensor	SHM Framework	Smart sensing integration	High system cost
[21]	Chen et al. (2020)	UAV LiDAR	3D Reconstruction	Bridge geometry & deformation assessment	Limited crack sensitivity
[22]	Yeum & Dyke (2015)	Computer Vision	Automated Damage Detection	Vision-based SHM framework	Pre-deep learning methods
[23]	Li et al. (2021)	UAV + Multispectral	Feature Extraction	Corrosion and material degradation detection	Calibration challenges
[24]	Toriumi (2022)	UAV Inspection	Field Application Review	Tunnel & bridge inspection case studies	Limited quantitative comparison
[25]	Sun et al. (2022)	UAV + AI	Deep Learning + Edge Computing	Real-time defect detection onboard UAV	Hardware constraints

III. BRIDGE STRUCTURAL HEALTH MONITORING

Bridge Structural Health Monitoring (BSHM) refers to the systematic process of assessing the condition, performance, and safety of bridge structures using sensing technologies, data acquisition systems, and analytical tools. It aims to detect damage at an early stage, evaluate structural integrity, and support maintenance decision-making to extend service life and ensure public safety.

A. Need for Bridge Structural Health Monitoring

Bridges are critical components of transportation infrastructure. Many existing bridges worldwide are aging due to:
Increased traffic loads beyond original design capacity

International Journal of Research and Development in Applied Science and Engineering (IJRDASE)
ISSN: 2454-6844

Environmental degradation (corrosion, freeze–thaw cycles, chloride attack)
Fatigue due to repetitive dynamic loading
Natural hazards such as earthquakes and floods
Traditional inspection methods primarily rely on manual visual inspection, which is:
Time-consuming
Subjective
Expensive
Limited to surface-level defects
Risky for inspectors
BSHM provides a scientific, data-driven approach for continuous or periodic condition evaluation.

B. Objectives of BSHM
The primary objectives of Bridge Structural Health Monitoring include:
Early Damage Detection – Identification of cracks, corrosion, delamination, fatigue, or excessive deflection.
Condition Assessment – Quantitative evaluation of structural performance.
Damage Localization – Determining the exact location and severity of defects.
Performance Prediction – Estimating remaining service life.
Maintenance Optimization – Enabling predictive and preventive maintenance strategies.
Safety Assurance – Reducing risk of sudden failure.

C. Components of a BSHM System
A typical BSHM system consists of the following components:
Sensors
Sensors are used to capture structural responses and environmental parameters. Common types include:
Strain gauges – Measure strain in structural members
Accelerometers – Monitor vibration and dynamic response
Displacement sensors (LVDTs) – Measure deflections
Load cells – Monitor applied loads
Fiber optic sensors – Long-term distributed sensing
Temperature and humidity sensors – Environmental monitoring
Data Acquisition System (DAQ)
Collects, digitizes, and stores sensor data. It may include wireless transmission modules for remote monitoring.
Data Processing & Analytics
Signal processing and statistical or machine learning techniques are used to extract meaningful information from raw data.
Decision-Making Framework
Supports maintenance planning using performance indicators and damage indices.

D. Types of Monitoring Approaches
Bridge SHM can be classified into different categories:
a. Static Monitoring
Measures slow-changing responses such as deflection and strain under static loads.
b. Dynamic Monitoring
Analyzes vibration characteristics such as:
Natural frequencies
Mode shapes
Damping ratios
Changes in these parameters may indicate structural damage.
c. Continuous Monitoring
Real-time data collection for critical bridges (long-span, cable-stayed, suspension bridges).
d. Periodic Monitoring
Data collected at scheduled intervals.

IV. CONCLUSION

This comprehensive review examined the evolving role of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) in Bridge Structural Health Monitoring (BSHM), with particular emphasis on sensing technologies, data analytics frameworks, and real-world field implementations. The synthesis of current literature demonstrates that UAV-enabled inspection systems are transforming conventional bridge assessment practices by providing safer, faster, and more cost-effective alternatives to manual inspection methods.
From a sensing perspective, UAV platforms have successfully integrated high-resolution RGB imaging, infrared thermography, LiDAR scanning, multispectral imaging, and emerging contact-assisted sensors. Each sensing modality offers unique capabilities: RGB imaging supports surface crack detection; infrared thermography enables subsurface delamination identification; LiDAR facilitates geometric reconstruction and deformation analysis; and multispectral sensing enhances corrosion and material degradation detection. However, no single sensing technology provides a complete solution. The review highlights the growing need for **multi-sensor fusion frameworks** to improve reliability, robustness, and diagnostic accuracy under varying environmental conditions.
In terms of data analytics, the integration of artificial intelligence—particularly convolutional neural networks (CNNs), deep learning-based segmentation, transfer learning, and digital twin modeling—has significantly improved automated defect detection and quantification. These techniques reduce subjectivity, enhance scalability, and enable predictive maintenance strategies. Nevertheless, challenges remain in dataset standardization, model generalization across different bridge types and environmental conditions, interpretability of AI models, and real-time onboard processing under hardware constraints.
Field applications demonstrate the operational feasibility of UAV-based inspection across steel, reinforced concrete, cable-stayed, and suspension bridges. UAV deployment reduces inspection time, minimizes traffic disruption, and enhances worker safety. However, practical limitations—including flight endurance, payload restrictions, regulatory compliance, cybersecurity risks, GPS-denied navigation, and environmental sensitivity—continue to influence large-scale adoption.
The review identifies several critical research gaps:

- Development of standardized UAV-based inspection protocols and benchmarking datasets.
- Robust sensor fusion and edge-computing architectures for real-time analysis.
- Autonomous navigation systems capable of operating reliably in complex bridge geometries.
- Secure data transmission and integration with digital twin frameworks.
- Lifecycle-based performance evaluation for predictive infrastructure management.

ISSN: 2454-6844

Overall, UAV-enabled BSHM represents a paradigm shift from periodic, manual inspection toward intelligent, data-driven, and semi-autonomous infrastructure monitoring. Future advancements will likely focus on fully autonomous inspection systems, AI-powered decision support, and seamless integration with smart infrastructure ecosystems. With continued interdisciplinary collaboration among civil engineers, robotics researchers, and data scientists, UAV-based bridge monitoring systems have the potential to significantly enhance structural safety, reduce lifecycle costs, and contribute to the development of resilient and sustainable transportation infrastructure.

REFERENCES

[1] C. R. Farrar and K. Worden, "An introduction to structural health monitoring," *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A*, vol. 365, no. 1851, pp. 303–315, 2007.

[2] D. Balageas, C.-P. Fritzen, and A. Güemes, *Structural Health Monitoring*. London, U.K.: ISTE Ltd, 2006.

[3] H. Ellenberg, J. Kontsos, F. Moon, and I. Bartoli, "Bridge deck delamination identification from unmanned aerial vehicle infrared imagery," *Automation in Construction*, vol. 72, pp. 155–165, 2016.

[4] Y. Ham, K. Han, J. J. Lin, and M. Golparvar-Fard, "Visual monitoring of civil infrastructure systems via camera-equipped unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs): A review of related works," *Automation in Construction*, vol. 73, pp. 1–18, 2017.

[5] B. Rakha and M. Gorodetsky, "Review of unmanned aerial system (UAS) applications in bridge inspection," *Journal of Bridge Engineering*, vol. 23, no. 6, 2018.

[6] Y. Cha, W. Choi, and O. Büyüköztürk, "Deep learning-based crack damage detection using convolutional neural networks," *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering*, vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 361–378, 2017.

[7] X. Maldague, *Theory and Practice of Infrared Technology for Nondestructive Testing*. New York, NY, USA: Wiley, 2001.

[8] F. Cabaleiro, B. Riveiro, P. Arias, and J. Caamaño, "Algorithm for automatic detection and analysis of cracks in masonry structures from LiDAR data," *Automation in Construction*, vol. 89, pp. 61–71, 2018.

[9] Z. Zhang, Q. Sun, and Y. Sun, "Deep learning-based damage detection for bridges: A review," *Structural Control and Health Monitoring*, vol. 27, no. 6, 2020.

[10] S. Dorafshan, R. J. Thomas, and M. Maguire, "SDNET2018: An annotated image dataset for non-contact concrete crack detection using deep convolutional neural networks," *Data in Brief*, vol. 21, pp. 1664–1668, 2018.

[11] K. Worden and G. Manson, "The application of machine learning to structural health monitoring," *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A*, vol. 365, no. 1851, pp. 515–537, 2007.

[12] A. Fuller, Z. Fan, C. Day, and C. Barlow, "Digital twin: Enabling technologies, challenges and open research," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 108952–108971, 2020.

[13] J. Metni and T. Hamel, "A UAV for bridge inspection: Visual servoing control law with orientation limits," *Automation in Construction*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 3–10, 2007.

[14] L. Dorafshan and M. Maguire, "Autonomous inspection of steel bridges using UAVs," *Journal of Bridge Engineering*, vol. 23, no. 10, 2018.

[15] S. Feroz, M. T. Rahman, and A. R. Z. Abidin, "UAV-Based Remote Sensing Applications for Bridge Condition Assessment," *Remote Sens.*, vol. 13, no. 9, p. 1809, May 2021.

[16] T. Jin, J. P. La, and X. Chen, "Deep-Learning- and Unmanned Aerial Vehicle-Based Methods for Structural Crack Detection: Challenges and Perspectives," *Buildings*, vol. 13, no. 12, p. 3114, Dec. 2023.

[17] F. Wang, Y. Li, and Z. Hu, "UAV navigation in large-scale GPS-denied bridge environments using fiducial marker-corrected stereo visual-inertial localisation," *Automation in Construction*, vol. 151, 2023.

[18] R. Watson, T. Zhao, D. Zhang, M. Kamel, C. MacLeod, G. Dobie, G. Bolton, A. Joly, S. G. Pierce, and J. Nieto, "Techniques for Contact-Based Structural Health Monitoring with Multirotor Unmanned Aerial Vehicles," presented at the Int. Workshop on Structural Health Monitoring (IWSHM), 2022.

[19] Q. Zhang, "Automated Unmanned Aerial Vehicle-Based Bridge Deck Delamination Detection and Quantification," *Transportation Research Record / Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, 2023.

[20] I. Odeh, "An Unmanned Aerial Vehicle-Based Digital Twin Framework for Bridge Inspection," in *Lecture Notes in Civil Engineering*, Springer, 2024, pp. 102–112.

[21] Y. Gao and H. Li, "An Efficient and Resilient Digital-twin Communication Framework for Smart Bridge Structural Survey and Maintenance," presented at EG-ICE 2022.

[22] A. Adibfar, G. S. Garcia, and M. S. Dodson, "Review and assessment of technical and legal challenges in UAV-based infrastructure and bridge structural health monitoring," *Int. J. Transp. Infra. Research*, 2023.

[23] "UAV-Based Crack Detection Dataset," Kaggle. [Online]. Available: https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/ziya07/uav-based-crack-detection-dataset. Accessed: Feb. 2026.

[24] F. Y. Toriumi, "UAV-based inspection of bridge and tunnel structures: an application review," ResearchGate, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/362659131. Accessed: Feb. 2026.

[25] J. Seo, L. Duque, and J. Wacker, "Drone-enabled bridge inspection methodology and application," *Journal of Bridge Engineering*, vol. 23, no. 10, pp. 04018089, 2018.