

A Review on Utilizing Artificial Intelligence Methods for Evaluating Transient Stability in Power Systems

Arvind Kumar Mishra, Dr. Varun Kumar

Electrical Engineering,
KNIT, Sultanpur, India,
arvindsiet009@gmail.com

Abstract– The dynamics of the power system have significantly changed as a result of the integration of large-scale renewable energy sources and growing unpredictability, posing a number of issues. For precise power system planning and operation, a modern power system's transient stability assessment must be completed quickly. Conventional approaches are unable to meet this need. Thus, new strategies are needed in this area. Artificial neural networks and other machine learning techniques can be quite helpful in this respect. Thus, the purpose of this research is to review the use of artificial neural networks in power system transient stability assessment. It is anticipated that this work will give machine learning researchers a strong platform on which to build in order to power system stability and security.

Keywords–artificial neural network; machine learning; renewable energy; transient stability; uncertainty

1. Introduction:

Maintaining synchronous generators that are capable of meeting load demands while operating in parallel is one of the key prerequisites for a dependable power system. The aptitude of the synchronic machines to maintain synchrony through the short-term period that follows a significant trouble, like a three-phase failure, is referred to as transient stability in power systems [1-2]. While there are several traditional approaches to assess fleeting constancy, the time-domain imitation method is the most widely cast-off. This is widely used because of its great accuracy and universality, but it takes too long, so it is not appropriate for actual fleeting constancy prediction [3]. Control system transient stability has also been evaluated using the Extended Equal Area Criterion (EEAC) and the Transient Energy Function (TEF) method. However, the transient stability index must be computed using many computations, and all approaches have certain modelling limitations [4].

Given the aforementioned, it is imperative to investigate novel avenues for evaluating temporary stability. To far, a number of machine learning (ML) techniques based on computational intelligence, including Artificial Neural Networks (ANN), Support Vector Machines (SVM), and Decision Trees (DT), have been developed for this resolution. For a variety of reasons, ANN is the most widely used and well-liked of these strategies. Its training does not require any complex mathematical modelling, and its modular nature facilitates similar dispensation. Additionally, it has the aptitude to chart nonlinear relationships amid effort and harvest data instantaneously [1]. As a result, the purpose of this work is to examine particularly how ANN is applied to transient stability assessment (TSA).

2. Related Work:

Because ANNs can process and understand complex nonlinear relations, their application to power systems is gaining a lot of attention [47]. Additionally, they have the capacity to analyse data in parallel. Based on several offline simulations, an association mapping is created in ANN-based TSA amid the contribution features and the stability assessment's harvest outcomes. As a result, many studies have extensively used them to generate this relation mapping [48, 49–51].

ANNs were utilized in Reference [48] to forecast the critical clearing time (CCT) of a system with limited test control. Reference [49] predicted energy margin and stability using an individual TEF technique. For TSA, Reference [50] developed an integrated strategy using SL and unsupervised learning. A quick technique for pattern identification and classification for dynamic security situations was presented in reference [52]. ANNs were utilized in [53] to forecast the constancy of a scheme with 227 buses and 53 producers. Reference [54] used the Multi-Layer Perceptron Neural Network (MLPNN) and the recurrent Radial Basis Function (RBF) to estimate the angular velocities and rotor angles of synchronous machines ANN was utilized in Reference [55] to categorize the state of system stability for different scenarios. In [56], the multilayer Feedforward Neural Network (FNN) was utilized to start the nonlinear charting relation amid the fleeting liveliness margin and the producer control, at varied Fault Clearing times (FCT). The system dynamic equivalents-based Lyapunov's direct technique was employed as a quick way to get the ANN's training set. Reference [57] provided a special ANN-based global online fault detection, pattern classification, and relaying detection method for synchronous generators (SGs) in connected electric utility networks. The online ANN-based relaying method categorized the fault occurrence and nature as either transient stability or loss of excitation, and the allowable CCT and loss of excitation type as an open or short circuit.

A unique two-layer fuzzy hyperrectangular composite neural network was created in [58] to predict transient stability in real time. A study on improving transient stability through the use of auxiliary controls to regulate the flow of High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) power was carried out in [59]. The stability analysis included both the line dynamics and the current controller model. A multi-machine system with a neural network controller was constructed in order to improve system stability. The subject of ANN input dimension reduction was discussed in reference [60]. The accuracy & effectiveness of two distinct TSA application techniques were examined and contrasted. Reference [61] provided details on an adaptive pattern recognition technique for CCT estimate based on neural networks. Reference [62] proposed the use of

artificial neural networks (ANN) for power system contingency transient & screening stability evaluation. An ANN is used in the adaptive pattern recognition method of TSA proposed in reference [63]. Reference [64] examined the use of ANNs in analyzing the transient stability of a power system (calculation of CCT for short-circuit failures type, with transmission line interruption) using a supervised FNN. A multilayer feedforward ANN is employed in [65] to manage the online TSA of a power system. Reference [66] describes how the Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC)'s control strategy, the RBF Neural Network (RBFNN), improved the transient stability performance of a multimachine power system. The primary objective of reference [67] was to validate the accuracy of ANN in evaluating the transient stability of a single machine infinite bus system. The findings acquired using the conventional Equal Area Criterion (EAC) approach were compared with the fault CCT produced by ANN. The test system was subjected to the multilayer FNN idea. Reference [68] provided a evaluation of two distinct machine learning procedures, ANN and SVM, for the forecast of online transient stability. considering several unknowns, such as load, fault type, location, fault clearing time, and network topology. Based on the superiority of ANN's classification metrics and computational time over SVM, the findings clearly demonstrated ANN's superior performance. Reference [69] offered ANN-based supervised machine learning to assess the transient stability of a power system while accounting for uncertainties related to load, faulted line, fault type, fault location, and fault clearance time. The neural network was trained using the appropriate system features as inputs and the probabilistic transient stability (PTS) status indicator as the output. Reference [70] looked at the PTS framework for power systems and the application of ANN to improve the assessment procedure. The research included a number of unknown variables, including the criticized line, fault kind, inaccurate location, and inaccurate clearance time. The obtained results proved the effectiveness of the suggested method, allowing it to be used to the transient stability prediction of any realistic large-scale power system. In [71], DT, SVM, and ANN were compared for two datasets. The findings indicated that TSA with ML is system-specific. It was also shown that there is a discernible difference in the two sets of algorithms' performance when the network's parameters are altered. A convolutional neural network-based TSA and instability mode assessment method was introduced in [72]. The method quickly generates a forecast result in terms of oscillatory instability, aperiodic instability, or stability using as input the bus voltage phasor recorded by Phasor Measurement Units (PMUs) within a brief observation window following disturbance. In [73], a novel hybrid intelligent system was created to predict transitory stability. An interpreter, a variety of neural networks, and a preprocessor made up the system. The preprocessor divided the entire set of synchronous machines into groups of two generators apiece.

A novel method for power system TSA based on CNN (Convolution Neural Network) and voltage phasor was presented in [74]. Using the DL technique, a dynamic display of the power system transient process in the voltage phasor complex plane was first assembled. Second, a fast estimation

prototype was put out, leveraging CNN and the voltage phasor complex plane image to achieve power system transient stability. A direct TSA method based on a Type-2 fuzzy neural network was presented in [75]. To overcome the uncertainty in the power system parameter measurement, Type-2 fuzzy logic was employed. On the other hand, a multilayer perceptron (MLP) neural network has the ability to learn and retain expert information. These two advantages were used in the hybrid technique that was created to provide an accurate computation of the TSA index, or CCT.

Reference [76] suggested TSA of a sizable 87-bus arrangement by combining feature extraction and selection techniques with a novel technique called the Probabilistic Neural Network (PNN). Transient stability was anticipated based on the generator relative rotor angles found using time domain simulations. It was determined that the PNN training time was shortened by incorporating feature reduction techniques, all while maintaining the accuracy of the classification outcomes. In [77], actual TSA was introduced inside a data-driven context by utilizing Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) with Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) elements to incorporate the temporal relations of the predictors. When the method was used to the IEEE 39-bus test system, it produced impressive test results when compared to an SVM benchmark..

In [78], a long short-term memory network-based TSA system was developed.. The described network attempted to achieve a trade-off balance amid valuation accurateness and reaction rapidity by suggesting a time-based self-adaptive strategy. Three power system case studies proved the usefulness of the proposed TSA methodology. A methodical approach to the construction and renovation of an accurate transient stability classifier was provided in Reference [79]. Initially, a CNN ensemble method was suggested to use these multi-dimensional data to develop the transient stability predictor by using the generators' time-series trajectories after disturbance as inputs. The efficiency of the system described was exposed by the simulation outcomes of two power systems. Reference [80] provided an explanation of how ANNs can be used to anticipate the power system's CCT. Selected features were used as input for the ANN's training, with CCT acting as the desired outcome. Time domain simulation was utilized to ascertain the target CCT, and a single contingency was employed. The simulation demonstrated that ANNs are suited for online applications since they can produce maps quickly and accurately.

An ANN application for tracking TSA including changes in system topology was given in Reference [81]. To offset the system instability, an offline trained artificial neural network (ANN) was utilized to provide the right corrective measure for online operation. According to the simulation results, the artificial neural network (ANN) can be a helpful tool for accurately assessing online transient stability. In [82], the Gated Graph Neural Network (GGNN) was utilized to predict transient stability and infer the kind of disturbance that precipitated the power system's instability. To create unstable samples, Conditional Generative Adversarial Networks (CGAN) were first used. Consequently, the power system's transient stability was assessed, and the real-time data was incorporated into the TSA model that had been trained. By using Graph Neural Networks (GNNs) to integrate topology

information into the model, Reference [83] achieved the integration of electrical and network topology information to develop a transient stability evaluation model. A simulated example validated the approach's viability in TSA and showed that the model can generalize to any grid layout.

In order to strengthen TSA, a convolutional neural network-based approach was developed in [84]. This method has the ability to overcome the shortcomings of traditional assessment techniques and meet TSA requirements with a high degree of evaluation precision. The features of the TSA problem were used to improve the training methods of convolutional neural networks, and the batch normalization process was used to produce the TSA model. In reference [85], a neural network-based adaptive pattern recognition approach was employed to conduct an extensive research on a power system for the estimation of the CCT. The weights were adjusted using the back propagation approach. The results from the neural network were compared with analytical computations.

A novel method for forecasting the online transient stability margin was introduced in [86]. The topology information and pre-fault power flow characteristics were extracted using 2D computer-vision based power flow images, transformation techniques, and a Geographic Information System (GIS). Additionally, under the anticipated set of circumstances, a complete network based on CNN was created to map the link between the generator stability indices and the steady-state power flow. The simulation results validated the usefulness of the provided method, and it is possible to examine the transient stability margin quantitatively and fast with the help of this offered methodology. Recurrent Graph Convolutional Network (RGCN)-based multi-task TSA was first presented in [87]. The RGCN was created by combining the Graph Convolutional Network (GCN) and the LSTM unit. Test results on the IEEE 39 Bus system and the IEEE 300 Bus system showed that the suggested approach is more effective and resilient than the present models under a variety of conditions. Additional noteworthy research related to ANN application for TSA is available in [88-95].

3. Conclusion:

It is anticipated that this review will give scholars studying machine learning and power system stability a solid foundation, enabling them to comprehend the state of the field's research and its ongoing difficulties. Future research can involve comparing numerous reviews and case studies with different machine learning techniques. To further minimize the computing requirements, feature reduction strategies for extensive power structures must be developed. In order to diminish reliance on cloud networks, machine learning (ML) can be combined with superiority figuring in current power systems. This will enable keen equipment, such as digital relays, PMUs, and smart meters, to analyze data locally.

References:

[1] A. Karami, "Power system transient stability margin estimation using neural networks," *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 983-991, May 2011.

- [2] P. Kundur et al., "Definition and classification of power system stability," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 1387-1401, May 2004. [3] P. Kundur, *Power system stability and control*, McGraw-Hill; 1994. [4] L. D. Colvara, "Stability analysis of power systems described with detailed models by automatic method," *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 139-145, May 2009. [5] A. Samuel, "Some studies in machine learning using the game of checkers," *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 210-229, 1959. [6] E. Alpaydin, *Introduction to Machine Learning*, 4th ed., MIT Press, 2020. [7] E. Hossain, I. Khan, F. Un-Noor, S. S. Sikander, and M. S. H. Sunny, "Application of big data and machine learning in smart grid, and associated security concerns: a review," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 13960-13988, 2019. [8] L. Deng and D. Yu, "Deep learning: methods and applications," *Foundations and Trends in Signal Processing*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 197-387, 2013. [9] V. Advani, "What is Machine Learning? How Machine Learning Works and future of it? [Online]. Available: <https://www.mygreatlearning.com/blog/what-is-machine-learning/>
- [10] S. A. Kiranmai and A. J. Laxmi, "Data mining for classification of power quality problems using WEKA and the effect of attributes on classification accuracy," *Protection and Control of Modern Power Systems*, vol. 3, no. 29, pp. 1-12, 2018. [11] S. Khan, J. Huh, and J. C. Ye, "Deep learning-based universal beamformer for ultrasound imaging," *arXiv preprint, arXiv:1904.02843*, 2019. [12] Z. M. Fadlullah and F. Tang, "State-of-the-art deep learning: evolving machine intelligence toward tomorrow's intelligent network traffic control systems," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 2432-2455, 2017. [13] N. Zhao, H. Zhang, R. Hong, M. Wang, and T. Chua, "Videowhisper: toward discriminative unsupervised video feature learning with attention-based recurrent neural networks," *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, vol. 19, no. 9, pp. 2080-2092, Sep. 2017. [14] I. Giannakis, A. Giannopoulos, and C. Warren, "A machine learning-based fast-forward solver for ground penetrating radar with application to full-waveform inversion," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 57, no. 7, pp. 4417-4426, Jul. 2019. [15] P. Liu, H. Zhang, and K. B. Eom, "Active deep learning for classification of hyperspectral images," *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 712-724, Feb. 2017. [16] D. Han, J. Lee, J. Lee, and H. Yoo, "A low-power deep neural network online learning processor for real-time object tracking application," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers*, vol. 66, no. 5, pp. 1794-1804, May 2019. [17] X. Li, Q. Yang, Z. Lou, and W. Yan, "Deep learning-based module defect analysis for large-scale photovoltaic farms," *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 520-529, Mar. 2019.

- [18] M. S. Ibrahim, "Machine learning driven smart electric power systems: current trends and new perspectives," *Applied Energy*, vol. 272, pp. 1-19, Aug. 2020.
- [19] H. S. Hippert, C. E. Pedreira, and R. C. Souza, "Neural networks for short-term load forecasting: a review and evaluation," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 44-55, Feb. 2001.
- [20] G. Cardoso, J. G. Rolim, and H. H. Zurn "Interpretation of remote backup protection for fault section estimation by a fuzzy expert system," *IEEE PowerTech Conference*, 2003, pp. 312-315.
- [21] B. D. Russell and K. Watson, "Power substation automation using a knowledge-based system - justification and preliminary field experiments," *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, vol. 2, pp. 1090-1097, Oct. 1987.
- [22] C. Liu and K. Tomsovic, "An expert system assisting decision-making of reactive power/voltage control," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 1, pp. 195-201, Aug. 1986.
- [23] K. P. Wong and H. N. Cheung, "Thermal generator scheduling algorithm based on heuristic guided depth-first search," *IEE Proceedings C - Generation, Transmission and Distribution*, vol. 137, pp. 33-43, Jan. 1990.
- [24] K. Nara, T. Satoh, and K. Maeda, "Maintenance scheduling by expert system combined with mathematical programming," *Proceedings on Expert Systems Application to Power Systems*, 1991, pp. 385-390.
- [25] M. Negnevitsky, P. Mandal, and A. K. Srivastava, "Machine learning applications for load, price and wind power prediction in power systems," *International Conference on Intelligent System Applications to Power Systems*, 2009, pp. 1-6.
- [26] L. Duchesne, E. Karangelos, and L. Wehenkel, "Recent developments in machine learning for energy systems reliability management," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 108, no. 9, pp. 1656-1676, May 2020.
- [27] I. Kalysh, M. Kenzhina, N. Kaiyrbekov, H. S. V. S. Kumar Nunna, A. Dadlani, and S. Doolla, "Machine learning-based service restoration scheme for smart distribution systems with DGs and high priority loads," *International Conference on Smart Energy Systems and Technologies (SEST)*, 2019, pp. 1-6.
- [28] W. Buwei, C. Jianfeng, W. Bo, and F. Shuanglei, "A solar power prediction using support vector machines based on multi-source data fusion," *International Conference on Power System Technology*, 2018, pp. 4573-4577.
- [29] A. Sayghe, O. M. Anubi, and C. Konstantinou, "Adversarial examples on power systems state estimation," *IEEE Power & Energy Society Innovative Smart Grid Technologies Conference*, 2020, pp. 1-5.
- [30] P. Mandal, "Application of an artificial neural network to study the transient stability of an alternator connected to infinite bus," *1st International Conference on Non-Conventional Energy*, 2014, pp. 224-227.
- [31] N. Kumarappan, M. R. Mohan, and S. Murugappan, "ANN approach applied to combined economic and emission dispatch for large-scale system," *Proceedings of the International Joint Conference on Neural Networks*, 2002, pp. 323-327.
- [32] S. Chai, Z. Xu, and Y. Jia, "Conditional density forecast of electricity price based on ensemble ELM and logistic EMOS," *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 3031-3043, May 2019.
- [33] M. I. A. Alfara, "Improving solar power system's efficiency using artificial neural network," M.Sc. Thesis, Islamic University of Gaza, Palestine, 2018.
- [34] S. M. Miraftebadeh, F. Foadelli, M. Longo, and M. Pasetti, "A survey of machine learning applications for power system analytics," *IEEE International Conference on Environment and Electrical Engineering*, 2019, pp. 1-5.
- [35] S. Wu, "3 Best metrics to evaluate Regression Model? [Online]. Available: <https://towardsdatascience.com/what-are-the-best-metrics-to-evaluate-your-regression-model-418ca481755b>
- [36] M. Sunasra, "Performance metrics for classification problems in machine learning," Nov. 2017. [Online]. Available: <https://medium.com/@MohammedS/performance-metrics-for-classification-problems-in-machine-learning-part-i-b085d432082b>
- [37] S. Haykin, *Neural Networks and Learning Machines*, 3rd Ed., New Jersey: Pearson Education, 2009.
- [38] M. Luzi, "Design and implementation of machine learning techniques for modeling and managing battery energy storage systems," Ph.D. Dissertation, The University of Rome, Italy, 2019.
- [39] G. P. Zhang, "Neural networks for classification: A survey," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part C (Applications and Reviews)*, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 451-462, 2000.
- [40] G. Aoki and Y. Sakakibara, "Convolutional neural networks for classification of alignments of non-coding RNA sequences," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 34, no. 13, pp. i237-i244, 2018.
- [41] A. Krizhevsky, I. Sutskever, and G. E. Hinton, "Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks," *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 60, no. 6, May 2017.
- [42] S. Yang, T. Ting, K. Man, and S. U. Guan, "Investigation of neural networks for function approximation," *Procedia Computer Science*, vol. 17, pp. 586-594, 2013.
- [43] K. Hornik, M. Stinchcombe, and H. White, "Multilayer feedforward networks are universal approximators," *Neural Networks*, vol. 2, no. 5, pp. 359-366, 1989.
- [44] T. Thien and T. Cong, "Approximation of functions and their derivatives: a neural network implementation with applications," *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, vol. 23, no. 9, pp. 687-704, 1999.
- [45] J. Thakur, "Components of ANN, Apr. 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://www.theigilab.com/post/components-of-ann-jitender-thakur>
- [46] Artificial neural network. [Online]. Available: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Artificial_neural_network
- [47] T. S. Dillon, and D. Niebur, *Neural Networks Applications in Power Systems*, Leicestershire, UK: CRL Publishing, 1996.
- [48] D. J. Sobajic and Y. Pao, "Artificial neural-net based dynamic security assessment for electric power systems," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 220-228, Feb. 1989.
- [49] M. Djukanovic, D. J. Sobajic, and Y. Pao, "Neural-net based unstable machine identification using individual energy

functions,” International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 255-262, Oct. 1991.

[50] Y. Pao and D. J. Sobajic, “Combined use of unsupervised and supervised learning for dynamic security assessment,” IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 878-884, May 1992.

[51] Y. Lin, “Explaining critical clearing time with rules extracted from a multilayer perceptron artificial neural network,” International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, vol. 32, no. 8, pp. 873-878, Oct. 2010.

[52] Q. Zhou, J. Davidson, and A. A. Fouad, “Application of artificial neural networks in power system security and vulnerability assessment,” IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 525-532, Feb. 1994.

[53] F. Aboites and R. Ramirez, “Transient stability assessment in longitudinal power systems using artificial neural networks,” IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 2003-2010, Nov. 1996.

[54] A. Bahbah and A. Girgis, “New method for generators’ angles and angular velocities prediction for transient stability assessment of multi-machine power systems using recurrent artificial neural network,” Proceedings of IEEE Power Energy Society General Meeting, 2004, pp. 117.

[55] S. Krishna and K. R. Padiyar, “Transient stability assessment using artificial neural networks,” Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Industrial Technology, 2000, pp. 627-632.

[56] Y. Liangzhong, N. Yixin, and Z. Buoming, “Estimation of transient stability limits using artificial neural network,” Proceedings of TENCON IEEE Region 10 International Conference on Computers, Communications and Automation, 1993, pp. 87-90.

[57] A. M. Sharaf and T. T. Lie, “Neural network pattern classifications of transient stability and loss of excitation for synchronous generators,” Proceedings of 1994 IEEE International Conference on Neural Networks, 1994, pp. 2916-2921.

[58] M. Su, C. W. Liu, and S. Tsay, “Neural-network-based fuzzy model and its application to transient stability prediction in power systems,” IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part C (Applications and Reviews), vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 149-157, Feb. 1999.

[59] I. Kulkarni and S. Dash, “Development of neural network based HVDC controller for transient stability enhancement of AC/DC system,” IEEE Students’ Conference on Electrical, Electronics and Computer Science, 2012, pp. 1-4.

[60] S. Muknahallipatna and B. H. Chowdhury, “Input dimension reduction in neural network training-case study in transient stability assessment of large systems,” Proceedings of International Conference on Intelligent System Application to Power Systems, 1996, pp. 50-54.

[61] S. Wei, K. Nakamura, M. Sone, and H. Fujita, “Neural network-based power system transient stability criterion using DSP-PC system,” Proceedings of the Second International Forum on Applications of Neural Networks to Power Systems, 1993, pp. 136-141.

[62] H. Sawhney and B. Jeyasurya, “On-line transient stability assessment using artificial neural network,” Large Engineering Systems Conference on Power Engineering (IEEE Cat. No.04EX819), 2004, pp. 76-80.

[63] K. K. Sanyal, “Transient stability assessment using artificial neural network,” IEEE International Conference on Electric Utility Deregulation, Restructuring and Power Technologies, 2004, pp. 633-637.

[64] C. R. Minussi and M. G. Silveira, “Electric power systems transient stability analysis by neural networks,” 38th Midwest Symposium on Circuits and Systems, 1995, pp. 1305-1308.

[65] L. Xianshu and J. Ma, “Using artificial neural network to assess transient stability of power systems,” Proceedings of TENCON IEEE Region 10 International Conference on Computers, Communications and Automation, 1993, pp. 91-94.

[66] S. Mishra, “Neural-network-based adaptive UPFC for improving transient stability performance of power system,” IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 461-470, Mar. 2006.

[67] D. M. Eltigani, K. Ramadan, and E. Zakaria, “Implementation of transient stability assessment using artificial neural networks,” International Conference on Computing, Electrical and Electronic Engineering, 2013, pp. 659-662.

[68] U. Shahzad, “A comparative analysis of artificial neural network and support vector machine for online transient stability prediction considering uncertainties,” Australian Journal of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 101-116, 2022.

[69] U. Shahzad, “Application of supervised machine learning for prediction of probabilistic transient stability,” Australian Journal of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 65-78, 2021.

[70] U. Shahzad, “Probabilistic transient stability assessment of power systems using artificial neural network,” Journal of Electrical Engineering, Electronics, Control and Computer Science, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 35-42, 2022.

[71] N. G. Baltas, P. Mazidi, J. Ma, F. de Asis Fernandez, and P. Rodriguez, “A comparative analysis of decision trees, support vector machines and artificial neural networks for on-line transient stability assessment,” International Conference on Smart Energy Systems and Technologies (SEST), 2018, pp. 1-6.

[72] Z. Shi et al., “Convolutional neural network-based power system transient stability assessment and instability mode prediction,” Applied Energy, vol. 263, Apr. 2020.

[73] N. Amjady and S. F. Majedi, “Transient stability prediction by a hybrid intelligent system,” IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 1275-1283, Aug. 2007.

[74] J. Hou et al., “Power system transient stability assessment based on voltage phasor and convolution neural network,” IEEE International Conference on Energy Internet (ICEI), 2018, pp. 247-251.

[75] A. Sharifian and S. Sharifian, “A new power system transient stability assessment method based on Type-2 fuzzy neural network estimation,” International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, vol. 64, pp. 71-87, Jan. 2015.

[76] N. I. A. Wahab, A. Mohamed, and A. Hussain, “Fast transient stability assessment of large power system using probabilistic neural network with feature reduction techniques,” Expert Systems with Applications, vol. 38, no. 9, pp. 11112-11119, Sep. 2011.

[77] L. Zheng, W. Hu, K. Hou, X. Xu, and G. Shao, “Real-time transient stability assessment based on deep recurrent neural network,” IEEE Innovative

Smart Grid Technologies - Asia (ISGT-Asia), 2017, pp. 1-5.

[78] J. J. Q. Yu, D. J. Hill, A. Y. S. Lam, J. Gu, and V. O. K. Li, "Intelligent time-adaptive transient stability assessment system," IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 1049-1058, Jan. 2018. [79] Y. Zhou et al., "A novel data-driven approach for transient stability prediction of power systems considering the operational variability," International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, vol. 107, pp. 379-394, May 2019. [80] P. K. Olulope, K. A. Folly, S. Chowdhury, and S. P. Chowdhury, "Transient stability assessment using artificial neural network considering fault location," 1st International Conference on Energy, Power and Control (EPC-IQ), 2010, pp. 67-72. [81] A. Hoballah and I. Erlich, "transient stability assessment using ANN considering power system topology changes," 15th International Conference on Intelligent System Applications to Power Systems, 2009, pp. 1-6. [82] X. Zhou et al., "Transient stability assessment based on gated graph neural network with imbalanced data in internet of energy," IEEE Internet of Things Journal, Nov. 2021. [83] J. Qiao et al., "Graph neural network based transient stability assessment considering topology changes," International Conference on Power System Technology (POWERCON), 2021, pp. 1999-2003. [84] J. Yang and Z. Cao, "Power system transient stability assessment method based on convolutional neural network," Chinese Control And Decision Conference (CCDC), 2019, pp. 5819-5824. [85] D. R. Krishna, K. V. S. R. Murthy, and G. G. Rao, "Application of artificial neural networks in determining critical clearing time in transient stability studies," Joint International Conference on Power System Technology and IEEE Power India Conference, 2008, pp. 1-6. [86] J. An, J. Yu, Z. Li, Y. Zhou, and G. Mu, "A data-driven method for transient stability margin prediction based on security region," Journal of Modern Power Systems and Clean Energy, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 1060-1069, Nov. 2020. [87] J. Huang et al., "Recurrent graph convolutional network-based multi-task transient stability assessment framework in power system," IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 93283-93296, 2020. [88] A. M. A. Haidar, M. W. Mustafa, F. A. F. Ibrahim, and I. A. Ahmed, "Transient stability evaluation of electrical power system using generalized regression neural networks," Applied Soft Computing, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 3558-3570, Jun. 2011. [89] H. Sawhney and B. Jeyasurya, "A feed-forward artificial neural network with enhanced feature selection for power system transient stability assessment," Electric Power Systems Research, vol. 76, no. 12, pp. 1047-1054, Aug. 2006. [90] K. Omata and K. Tanomura, "Transient stability evaluation using an artificial neural network (power systems)," Proceedings of the Second International Forum on Applications of Neural Networks to Power Systems, 1993, pp. 130-135. [91] E. M. Voumvoulakis, A. E. Gavoyiannis and N. D. Hatziargyriou, "Application of machine learning on power system dynamic security assessment," International Conference on Intelligent Systems Applications to Power Systems, 2007, pp. 1-6. [92] L. Chunyan, T. Biqiang, and C. Xiangyi, "On-line transient stability assessment using hybrid artificial neural network," 2nd IEEE Conference on Industrial Electronics and Applications, 2007, pp. 342-346.

[93] N. Kandil, S. Georges, and M. Saad, "Rapid power system transient stability limit search using signal energy and neural networks," IEEE International Symposium on Industrial Electronics, 2006, pp. 1658-1661.

[94] M. Mahdi and V. M. I. Genc, "Artificial neural network-based algorithm for early prediction of transient stability using wide area measurements," 5th International Istanbul Smart Grid and Cities Congress and Fair, 2017, pp. 17-21.

[95] M. Li and P. Jiang, "Artificial neural network classifier of transient stability based on time-domain simulation," 2nd IEEE Advanced Information Management, Communicates, Electronic and Automation Control Conference (IMCEC), 2018, pp. 685-689.

[96] S. Jafarzadeh and V. M. I. Genc, "Probabilistic dynamic security assessment of large power systems using machine learning algorithms," Turkish Journal of Electrical Engineering & Computer Sciences, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 1479-1490, Jan. 2018.

[97] A. Sabo and N. I. A. Wahab, "Rotor angle transient stability methodologies of power systems: a comparison," IEEE Student Conference on Research and Development, 2019, pp. 1-6.

[98] W. Li and J. Zhou, "Probabilistic reliability assessment of power system operations," Electric Power Components and Systems, vol. 36, no. 10, pp. 1102-1114, Sep. 2008.