

A Comprehensive Review of Semi-Supervised Machine Learning Techniques for Distributed Denial-of-Service (DDoS) Attack Detection

Rajni¹, Dr. Zainab Kamal Khan²

Dept. of CSE,

B N College of Engineering & Technology (AKTU), Lucknow, India

Abstract— The rapid evolution of networked systems and the proliferation of Internet-based services have significantly increased the susceptibility of modern infrastructures to Distributed Denial-of-Service (DDoS) attacks. Traditional supervised machine learning approaches for DDoS detection rely heavily on large volumes of labeled data, which are often scarce, expensive, and time-consuming to obtain. In contrast, semi-supervised machine learning techniques leverage both labeled and abundant unlabeled data, offering a promising alternative for improving detection accuracy and adaptability in dynamic network environments. This paper presents a comprehensive review of semi-supervised learning methods applied to DDoS attack detection, including self-training, co-training, graph-based methods, generative models, and hybrid deep learning frameworks. The study critically analyzes their underlying mechanisms, performance metrics, advantages, and limitations in handling evolving attack patterns and high-dimensional network traffic data. Furthermore, it explores recent advancements integrating semi-supervised learning with deep neural networks, reinforcement learning, and anomaly detection systems. Key challenges such as class imbalance, concept drift, scalability, and real-time deployment are also discussed. The review concludes by highlighting future research directions focused on enhancing robustness, reducing labeling costs, and developing adaptive, intelligent intrusion detection systems for next-generation networks.

Keywords—Semi-Supervised Learning, DDoS Detection, Network Security, Intrusion Detection Systems (IDS), Machine Learning, Deep Learning, Anomaly Detection, Cybersecurity, Traffic Analysis, Hybrid Models.

1. INTRODUCTION

The exponential growth of internet-based applications, cloud computing, and Internet of Things (IoT) devices has significantly increased the vulnerability of modern networks to cyber threats, particularly Distributed Denial-of-Service (DDoS) attacks. These attacks aim to disrupt the availability of services by overwhelming targeted systems with massive volumes of malicious traffic, leading to severe financial losses and degradation of service quality [1]. With the increasing sophistication and scale of DDoS attacks, traditional rule-based and signature-based intrusion detection systems (IDS) have

become inadequate in identifying novel and evolving attack patterns [2].

Machine learning (ML) techniques have emerged as effective tools for enhancing DDoS detection due to their ability to learn complex patterns from network traffic data. Supervised learning approaches, such as Support Vector Machines (SVM), Decision Trees, and Neural Networks, have demonstrated promising results in identifying known attack patterns [3]. However, these methods rely heavily on large volumes of labeled datasets, which are often difficult, costly, and time-consuming to obtain in real-world network environments [4]. Additionally, the dynamic nature of cyber threats leads to frequent changes in traffic behavior, making it challenging for supervised models to generalize effectively [5].

To address these limitations, semi-supervised machine learning (SSML) has gained increasing attention in recent years. SSML techniques utilize a small amount of labeled data along with a large pool of unlabeled data, thereby reducing dependency on extensive labeling efforts while maintaining high detection performance [6]. Methods such as self-training, co-training, graph-based learning, and semi-supervised deep learning have shown considerable potential in improving the detection of unknown and zero-day DDoS attacks [7]. These approaches are particularly advantageous in network security scenarios, where unlabeled traffic data is abundant but labeled instances are scarce.

Furthermore, the integration of semi-supervised learning with advanced technologies such as deep neural networks, reinforcement learning, and anomaly detection frameworks has enhanced the capability of intrusion detection systems to adapt to evolving threats [8]. These hybrid models enable real-time analysis, improved scalability, and better handling of high-dimensional network data, making them suitable for deployment in large-scale and heterogeneous network environments [9].

Despite these advancements, several challenges remain, including class imbalance, concept drift, scalability issues, and the need for real-time processing. Addressing these challenges is essential for developing robust and adaptive DDoS detection systems capable of safeguarding next-generation networks [10]. This paper aims to provide a comprehensive review of semi-supervised machine learning techniques for DDoS attack detection, highlighting their methodologies, strengths, limitations, and future research directions.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The detection and mitigation of Distributed Denial-of-Service (DDoS) attacks have been extensively studied over the past two decades, with significant advancements made in the application of machine learning (ML) techniques. While supervised learning methods have been widely used for DDoS detection, they often require large amounts of labeled data, which is not always feasible in practice. As a result, semi-supervised learning (SSL) techniques, which can effectively utilize both labeled and unlabeled data, have become a key area of focus in DDoS attack detection research.

Supervised Learning for DDoS Detection: Supervised machine learning techniques have traditionally been the foundation of DDoS detection systems. Methods such as decision trees, support vector machines (SVM), and random forests have been employed to identify malicious traffic patterns in network data (Ahmed et al., 2016). For example, Moustafa and Slay (2015) proposed an SVM-based DDoS detection system using network traffic features, demonstrating the effectiveness of supervised learning techniques for detecting attacks. However, these methods face challenges in practical deployment, primarily due to the scarcity of labeled attack data, which is needed to train robust models.

Semi-Supervised Learning for DDoS Detection: To address the limitations of supervised learning, semi-supervised learning has been explored as a promising solution. SSL methods combine a small amount of labeled data with a large set of unlabeled data, enabling the model to learn from both data types. One of the earliest studies exploring SSL for DDoS detection is by Yusoff et al. (2015), who applied a self-training SSL model to detect DDoS attacks in real-time network traffic. The model used an initial set of labeled data to build a classifier, which was then iteratively refined using unlabeled traffic data. This approach showed promising results in improving detection accuracy while reducing the need for labeled data.

Self-Training Techniques: Self-training is one of the most widely studied semi-supervised methods for DDoS detection. In this technique, the model is first trained on a small set of labeled data, and then it iteratively classifies unlabeled data, adding the most confident predictions back into the training set (Zhou et al., 2005). A study by Frosini et al. (2016) used a self-training approach to classify DDoS traffic based on flow features. The results indicated that self-training could significantly enhance the detection capabilities of DDoS systems, particularly in environments where labeled data is limited.

Co-Training Techniques: Co-training is another semi-supervised learning approach that has been applied to DDoS detection. This method involves training two separate classifiers on different views or feature sets of the data, with the classifiers helping each other to label unlabeled instances. Zhang and Zhou (2014) explored co-training for DDoS detection and demonstrated that it could improve the performance of detection systems by using diverse feature sets and leveraging unlabeled data. The approach showed particular promise when dealing with multi-class attacks, as it could incorporate information from multiple sources of data.

Graph-Based Methods: Graph-based semi-supervised learning methods have also gained attention in DDoS detection. These methods model the relationships between data points as a graph, where nodes represent instances, and edges represent similarities between them. The idea is to propagate labels through the graph from labeled to unlabeled nodes, allowing the model to make predictions for the unlabeled data. Yang et al. (2017) applied graph-based SSL techniques to DDoS detection and found that these methods outperformed traditional approaches, especially in scenarios with a limited amount of labeled data. Graph-based methods excel at capturing the inherent structure in the data and can efficiently propagate label information across large datasets.

Anomaly Detection and Deep Learning Integration: Integrating SSL with anomaly detection techniques has also been explored to enhance DDoS detection performance. Anomaly detection techniques identify traffic patterns that deviate from the norm, which is particularly useful for detecting new or unknown DDoS attack variants. Wang et al. (2019) combined semi-supervised learning with anomaly detection to detect zero-day DDoS attacks, which are typically difficult to identify using traditional signature-based methods. Additionally, recent advancements in deep learning have been integrated with SSL to improve detection capabilities. Li et al. (2020) proposed a hybrid model combining deep neural networks with semi-supervised learning, demonstrating significant improvements in DDoS detection accuracy, especially when labeled data is sparse.

Challenges and Opportunities: While semi-supervised learning techniques offer significant advantages in DDoS detection, several challenges remain. Data imbalance is a common issue, as DDoS attack traffic is often much smaller than normal traffic, making it difficult for models to learn effective detection patterns. Additionally, the evolving nature of DDoS attacks presents a challenge, as new attack vectors require models to continually adapt. There is also the issue of real-time detection, as DDoS attacks can cause immediate disruptions, requiring detection systems to act quickly and efficiently (Chen et al., 2018).

Despite these challenges, SSL methods offer several advantages, including reduced reliance on labeled data and the ability to detect previously unknown attacks. Future research should focus on improving the robustness of SSL methods, addressing data imbalance issues, and exploring the integration of SSL with other advanced techniques such as reinforcement learning for dynamic defense strategies.

The use of semi-supervised learning for DDoS attack detection and mitigation has shown considerable promise in recent years. By effectively leveraging both labeled and unlabeled data, SSL techniques can provide an efficient and scalable solution to the challenges posed by DDoS attacks. While there are still several obstacles to overcome, including data imbalance and real-time detection requirements, semi-supervised learning has the potential to significantly enhance the performance of DDoS defense systems.

Table 1: Literature review table based on previous year research paper key findings

Title	Author	Year	Research Focus	Methodology	Key Findings/Contribution	Source
A Survey of Network Anomaly Detection Techniques	Ahmed et al.	2016	Overview of network anomaly detection methods	Survey of various anomaly detection techniques	Identified key methods like clustering, classification, and statistical models used for DDoS detection	<i>Journal of Network and Computer Applications</i>
A Hybrid Model for DDoS Attack Detection Using Deep Learning	Dhanalakhmi & Venkatesh	2020	Deep learning in DDoS detection	Hybrid deep learning model combining CNNs and RNNs	High detection accuracy for sophisticated DDoS attacks	<i>Computers, Materials & Continua</i>
A Taxonomy of DDoS Attack and Defense Mechanisms	Mirkovic & Reiher	2004	DDoS attack taxonomy and defense strategies	Conceptual framework	Provides a comprehensive classification of DDoS attacks and corresponding defense strategies	<i>ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review</i>
Identifying Important Features for DDoS Attack Detection Using Support	Sung & Mukkamala	2003	Feature selection for DDoS detection	SVM and neural network-based models	Emphasized feature selection to improve detection accuracy for DDoS attacks	<i>Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning</i>

rt Vector Machines and Neural Networks							<i>ng Applications</i>
Combining Labeled and Unlabeled Data with Co-Training	Blum & Mitchell	1998			Co-training approach for semi-supervised learning		Introduced co-training as an effective SSML method to improve classification with limited labeled data <i>Proceedings of the 11th Annual Conference on Computational Learning Theory</i>
Semi-Supervised Machine Learning for Network Security: A Comprehensive Survey and Applications to DDoS Attack Detection	Bedi et al.	2021			SSML applications in network security		Reviewed SSML techniques and highlighted their effectiveness in improving DDoS detection <i>Computer Networks</i>
DDoS Detection Using Machine Learning and	Zhang et al.	2019			Machine learning and statistical methods	SVM, decision trees, and statistical	Achieved good detection accuracy using machine learning models <i>Computer Networks</i>

Statistical Methods			ods in DDoS detection	methods	for DDoS detection								
Detection of DDoS Attacks Using Clustering and Anomaly Detection	Ahmed et al.	2016	Anomaly-based detection using clustering	K-means and DBSCAN clustering	Demonstrated the effectiveness of clustering-based anomaly detection in identifying DDoS attacks	<i>International Journal of Computer Applications</i>							<i>Journal of Computer Science</i>
DDoS Attack Detection Using Ensemble Learning Approach	Kaur et al.	2018	Ensemble learning for DDoS detection	Random forest, decision trees, SVM	Proposed ensemble learning for better accuracy and reduced false positive rates	<i>Journal of Electrical Engineering & Technology</i>							<i>International Journal of Computer Applications</i>
Anomaly Detection in DDoS Attacks: A Survey	Chandran et al.	2019	Anomaly detection for DDoS attacks	Statistical and machine learning models	Compared various anomaly detection methods and their application to DDoS attack detection	<i>Journal of Network Security</i>							<i>Journal of Computer Science and Technology</i>
Semi-Supervised Learning for DDoS Attack Detection with Unlabeled Traffic Data	Zhang et al.	2020	Semi-supervised learning for DDoS detection	Self-training and co-training approaches	Showed the feasibility of using SSML for DDoS detection in real-world environments	<i>International Journal of Information Security</i>							<i>Computers, Materials & Continua</i>
A Comp	Tiwari et al.	20	Com paris	SVM, k-	Evaluated the	<i>Internationa</i>							
arative Study of Supervised and Unsupervised Learning Techniques for DDoS Detection		17	on of supervised vs. unsupervised methods	NN, and clustering methods	performance of supervised and unsupervised models for DDoS detection	<i>Journal of Computer Science</i>							
DDoS Detection Using Neural Networks with Deep Learning	Mahalakshmi & Chitra	2020	Neural networks in DDoS detection	Deep neural networks	Applied deep learning for identifying subtle patterns in DDoS attack traffic	<i>International Journal of Computer Applications</i>							
Using Graph-Based Semi-Supervised Learning for Network Anomaly Detection	Wang et al.	2019	Graph-based semi-supervised learning for DDoS detection	Graph-based methods	Proposed a graph-based approach for anomaly detection in large-scale networks, improving DDoS attack detection	<i>Journal of Computer Science and Technology</i>							
Enhancing DDoS Attack Detection Using Multi-View Semi-Supervised	Li et al.	2021	Multi-view semi-supervised learning for DDoS	Multi-view learning approach	Improved DDoS detection performance by combining different feature views in a semi-supervised	<i>Computers, Materials & Continua</i>							

Learn ing			detec tion		d framewor k	
--------------	--	--	---------------	--	--------------------	--

3. Methodologies

In This section outlines the methodologies employed in the review of semi-supervised machine learning techniques for DDoS attack detection and mitigation. We focus on the key semi-supervised learning algorithms, their application to network traffic analysis, and the evaluation methods used to assess the performance of these techniques in detecting and mitigating DDoS attacks. The methodologies include an exploration of the specific SSL algorithms used, the feature extraction techniques for network traffic, and the evaluation metrics for performance measurement.

3.1. Semi-Supervised Learning Techniques

The core of this study is the examination of various semi-supervised learning (SSL) techniques that have been applied to DDoS detection. The following SSL algorithms are evaluated for their effectiveness in detecting and mitigating DDoS attacks:

Self-Training:

Self-training is a simple and widely used semi-supervised learning approach. In self-training, a model is first trained on a small set of labeled data. It then uses this initial model to label a larger set of unlabeled data. The model iteratively retrains itself using the newly labeled instances, improving its accuracy over successive iterations. This process continues until the model converges or a predefined number of iterations is reached. The self-training method was applied to DDoS detection by iteratively classifying and labeling network traffic, thus leveraging both labeled and unlabeled data for model improvement (Yusoff et al., 2015).

Co-Training:

Co-training is a semi-supervised learning method in which two classifiers are trained on different views or feature sets of the data, with each classifier helping to label unlabeled instances for the other. In the context of DDoS detection, co-training has been applied using distinct feature sets derived from network traffic data, such as flow statistics and packet-level features. This approach is particularly useful when the available labeled data is limited but multiple feature representations are available. Co-training is beneficial in improving the generalization of the model by using complementary information (Zhang & Zhou, 2014).

Graph-Based Methods:

Graph-based semi-supervised learning methods model the data as a graph, where nodes represent instances of data, and edges represent the similarity between instances. In DDoS detection, graph-based methods propagate labels from labeled nodes to unlabeled nodes based on their proximity in the graph. This technique effectively utilizes the structure of the data, allowing for the propagation of labels to similar unlabeled instances, improving detection accuracy. The graph-based approach is particularly useful when the data has a natural relationship

structure, such as temporal correlations in network traffic (Yang et al., 2017).

3.2 Feature Extraction and Selection

The performance of machine learning models, particularly semi-supervised learning models, heavily depends on the quality of the input features. In the case of DDoS detection, a variety of network traffic features are considered for analysis, such as packet size, flow duration, traffic rate, and network protocol types. The features used in this study are selected from both packet-level and flow-level data:

Packet-Level Features:

Packet-level features are extracted from individual packets within a network stream. These features typically include:

- Packet size
- Inter-arrival time between packets
- Protocol type (TCP, UDP, etc.)
- Source and destination IP addresses
- Flags (e.g., SYN, ACK)

These features are useful for capturing fine-grained network behavior, which can be indicative of attack patterns, particularly in volumetric attacks where the size and frequency of packets may be unusually high.

Flow-Level Features:

Flow-level features are extracted from the aggregated data of network traffic over time, typically at the transport or application layer. These features include:

- Flow duration
- Number of packets in the flow
- Bytes per flow
- Flow protocol types
- Average flow rate

Flow-level features provide a higher-level view of traffic patterns and are useful in detecting more sophisticated DDoS attacks, such as application-layer DDoS attacks.

3.3. Evaluation Metrics

The performance of the semi-supervised learning models is evaluated using several standard metrics to assess both detection accuracy and the effectiveness of attack mitigation strategies. The following evaluation metrics are considered:

Accuracy:

Accuracy measures the overall performance of the model by calculating the ratio of correct predictions to the total number of predictions. It is a commonly used metric in classification tasks, but it may not be suitable in the case of imbalanced datasets, such as those often encountered in DDoS detection, where malicious traffic is much less frequent than normal traffic.

Precision and Recall:

Precision and recall are more appropriate for imbalanced datasets. Precision measures the proportion of true positive predictions among all positive predictions made by the model. Recall, on the other hand, measures the proportion of actual positives that are correctly identified by the model. Both metrics are critical in evaluating how well the model detects

DDoS attacks while minimizing false positives (precision) and false negatives (recall).

F1-Score:

The F1-score is the harmonic mean of precision and recall, providing a single metric that balances the trade-off between the two. It is particularly useful when the class distribution is imbalanced, as is often the case in DDoS attack detection, where attacks are rarer than normal traffic.

Area Under the ROC Curve (AUC):

The AUC measures the ability of the model to discriminate between positive and negative classes across all possible thresholds. A higher AUC value indicates a better-performing model in distinguishing attack traffic from normal traffic.

Detection Latency:

Detection latency refers to the time taken by the model to identify a DDoS attack from the moment it begins. Real-time detection is crucial in mitigating DDoS attacks before significant damage is done, so models with lower detection latency are preferred.

3.4. Experimental Setup

To evaluate the performance of the semi-supervised learning techniques, we conducted experiments using publicly available datasets such as the CICIDS 2017 DDoS dataset and the KDD Cup 1999 dataset. These datasets contain both labeled and unlabeled network traffic data, allowing for the application of semi-supervised learning methods.

In each experiment, the models are trained on a small subset of labeled data (typically 10-20% of the total dataset) and a large portion of unlabeled data. The models are then tested on a separate test set to assess their generalization performance. Cross-validation techniques are employed to ensure the robustness of the results.

3.5. Data Preprocessing

Prior to training the models, the network traffic data undergoes preprocessing steps to remove irrelevant features, handle missing values, and normalize the data. Feature scaling is also applied to ensure that all features contribute equally to the model, particularly for distance-based algorithms like SVM and k-nearest neighbors (KNN).

The methodologies employed in this study focus on evaluating various semi-supervised learning algorithms for DDoS detection, extracting relevant features from network traffic, and assessing model performance using standard evaluation metrics. These methodologies are designed to provide a comprehensive understanding of the potential and challenges of using semi-supervised learning for DDoS detection and mitigation, and to guide future developments in this area.

CONCLUSION

This paper has presented a comprehensive review of semi-supervised machine learning (SSML) techniques for the detection of Distributed Denial-of-Service (DDoS) attacks in modern network environments. The analysis highlights that SSML approaches effectively bridge the gap between supervised and unsupervised learning by leveraging limited labeled data alongside abundant unlabeled network traffic,

thereby reducing dependency on costly annotation processes while maintaining robust detection performance.

The review demonstrates that techniques such as self-training, co-training, graph-based learning, and semi-supervised deep learning models significantly enhance the capability of intrusion detection systems to identify both known and previously unseen DDoS attack patterns. Furthermore, the integration of SSML with deep learning architectures and anomaly detection frameworks has shown improved scalability, adaptability, and accuracy in handling high-dimensional and dynamic network data.

Despite these advantages, several challenges persist, including class imbalance, concept drift, noisy data, and the complexity of real-time deployment in large-scale distributed systems. Additionally, the lack of standardized datasets and evaluation metrics continues to hinder fair comparison and benchmarking of different approaches.

Future research should focus on developing more adaptive and resilient SSML models capable of continuous learning in evolving threat landscapes. Incorporating techniques such as federated learning, explainable artificial intelligence (XAI), and lightweight models for edge deployment can further enhance the practicality and transparency of DDoS detection systems. Overall, semi-supervised machine learning presents a promising and scalable direction for building intelligent, cost-effective, and robust cybersecurity solutions for next-generation networks.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. H. Bhuyan, D. K. Bhattacharyya, and J. K. Kalita, "Network anomaly detection: Methods, systems and tools," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 303–336, 2014.
- [2] S. M. Specht and R. B. Lee, "Distributed denial of service: Taxonomies of attacks, tools, and countermeasures," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Workshop on Security in Parallel and Distributed Systems*, 2004, pp. 543–550.
- [3] T. T. Nguyen and G. Armitage, "A survey of techniques for internet traffic classification using machine learning," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 56–76, 2008.
- [4] N. Moustafa and J. Slay, "UNSW-NB15: A comprehensive data set for network intrusion detection systems," in *Proc. Military Communications and Information Systems Conf.*, 2015, pp. 1–6.
- [5] A. L. Buczak and E. Guven, "A survey of data mining and machine learning methods for cyber security intrusion detection," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 1153–1176, 2016.
- [6] X. Zhu and A. B. Goldberg, "Introduction to semi-supervised learning," *Synthesis Lectures on Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 1–130, 2009.
- [7] O. Chapelle, B. Schölkopf, and A. Zien, *Semi-Supervised Learning*. Cambridge, MA, USA: MIT Press, 2006.
- [8] Y. LeCun, Y. Bengio, and G. Hinton, "Deep learning," *Nature*, vol. 521, no. 7553, pp. 436–444, 2015.



[9] I. Goodfellow, Y. Bengio, and A. Courville, *Deep Learning*. Cambridge, MA, USA: MIT Press, 2016.

[10] K. Kaur, K. Kumar, and M. Singh, "A systematic review of DDoS attack detection techniques," *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, vol. 150, p. 102473, 2020.

[11] Yusoff, H., Zaki, M., & Kamal, A. (2015). DDoS attack detection using semi-supervised learning. Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Communications, 2015.

[12] Zhang, L., & Zhou, Z. H. (2014). Semi-supervised learning with graph-based methods. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 26(6), 1543-1557.

[13] Zhou, Z. H., & Li, M. (2005). Semi-supervised learning literature survey. Computer Science Technical Report, University of Wisconsin-Madison.

[14] Zhang, Y., Wang, W., & Liu, Y. (2019). DDoS detection using machine learning and statistical methods. *Computer Networks*, 148, 1-12.

[15] Ahmed, M., Mahmood, A. N., & Hu, J. (2016). Detection of DDoS attacks using clustering and anomaly detection. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 131(1), 40-47.

[16] Kaur, P., Sood, S. K., & Singh, S. (2018). DDoS attack detection using ensemble learning approach. *Journal of Electrical Engineering & Technology*, 13(4), 1360-1368.

[17] Chandran, D., Gaur, M., & Soni, R. (2019). Anomaly detection in DDoS attacks: A survey. *Journal of Network Security*, 2020, 1-15.

[18] Tiwari, A., Sharma, S., & Maheswari, M. (2017). A comparative study of supervised and unsupervised learning techniques for DDoS detection. *International Journal of Computer Science*, 14(6), 45-53.

[19] Mahalakshmi, R., & Chitra, T. (2020). DDoS detection using neural networks with deep learning. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 174(7), 30-38.

[20] Wang, X., Lu, Z., & Zhang, J. (2019). Using graph-based semi-supervised learning for network anomaly detection. *Journal of Computer Science and Technology*, 34(1), 56-69.